



Consulting and Technical Services Plus (CATS+)

Task Order Request for Proposals (TORFP)
EDUCATION DATA WAREHOUSE SUPPORT AND
MAINTENANCE

MARYLAND STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
(MSDE)

CATS+ TORFP PROJECT NUMBER R00B4400011

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 26, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

KEY INFORMATION SUMMARY SHEET	4
SECTION 1 - ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION	5
1.1 RESPONSIBILITY FOR TORFP AND TO AGREEMENT	5
1.2 TO AGREEMENT	5
1.3 TO PROPOSAL SUBMISSIONS	5
1.4 ORAL PRESENTATIONS/INTERVIEWS	5
1.5 MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (MBE)	5
1.6 CONFLICT OF INTEREST	6
1.7 NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT	6
1.8 LIMITATION OF LIABILITY CEILING	6
1.9 CONTRACT MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES	6
1.10 QUESTIONS	6
1.11 TO PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE	7
1.12 CHANGE ORDERS	7
1.13 TRAVEL REIMBURSEMENT	7
1.14 LIVING WAGE	7
1.15 IRANIAN NON-INVESTMENT	7
SECTION 2 - SCOPE OF WORK	8
2.1 PURPOSE	8
2.2 REQUESTING AGENCY BACKGROUND	8
2.3 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	9
2.4 SYSTEM ENVIRONMENT	9
2.5 REQUIREMENTS	10
2.6 DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	11
2.7 DELIVERABLES	15
2.7.1 DELIVERABLE SUBMISSION PROCESS	15
2.7.2 DELIVERABLE DESCRIPTIONS / ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	16
2.8 REQUIRED PROJECT POLICIES, GUIDELINES AND METHODOLOGIES	20
2.9 TO CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS	20
2.10 TO CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL OTHER REQUIREMENTS	21
2.11 INVOICING	21
2.11.1 INVOICE SUBMISSION PROCEDURE	21
2.12 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	22
2.13 PERFORMANCE AND PERSONNEL	22
2.13.1 WORK HOURS	22
2.13.2 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION	22
2.13.3 PERFORMANCE ISSUE MITIGATION	23
2.13.4 SUBSTITUTION OF PERSONNEL	23
SECTION 3 - TASK ORDER PROPOSAL FORMAT AND SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS	24
3.1 REQUIRED RESPONSE	24
3.2 TO PROPOSAL FORMAT	24
3.3 TO TECHNICAL PROPOSAL	24

3.4	TO FINANCIAL PROPOSAL.....	26
SECTION 4 – TASK ORDER AWARD PROCESS		27
4.1	OVERVIEW	27
4.2	TOPROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	27
4.3	SELECTION PROCEDURES	27
4.4	COMMENCEMENT OF WORK UNDER A TO AGREEMENT	27
ATTACHMENT 1 – PRICE PROPOSAL		28
ATTACHMENT 2 – TASK ORDER AGREEMENT.....		32
ATTACHMENT 3 – CONFLICT OF INTEREST AFFIDAVIT AND DISCLOSURE.....		35
ATTACHMENT 4 – LABOR CLASSIFICATION PERSONNEL RESUME SUMMARY		36
ATTACHMENT 5 – DIRECTIONS		38
ATTACHMENT 6 - NOTICE TO PROCEED (SAMPLE).....		39
ATTACHMENT 7 - AGENCY RECEIPT OF DELIVERABLE FORM.....		40
ATTACHMENT 8 - AGENCY ACCEPTANCE OF DELIVERABLE FORM.....		41
ATTACHMENT 9 – NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT (OFFEROR).....		42
ATTACHMENT 10 – NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT (TO CONTRACTOR).....		43
ATTACHMENT 11 – TO CONTRACTOR SELF-REPORTING CHECKLIST		46
ATTACHMENT 12 – LIVING WAGE AFFIDAVIT OF AGREEMENT		48
ATTACHMENT 13CERTIFICATION REGARDING INVESTMENTS IN IRAN		49
ATTACHMENT 14- PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM		50
EXHIBIT A.....		ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
APPENDIX A - PUBLIC REPORTING TIMELINE.....		51
APPENDIX B - FEDERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING TIMELINE		53

KEY INFORMATION SUMMARY SHEET

This CATS+TORFP is issued to obtain the services necessary to satisfy the requirements defined in Section 2 - Scope of Work. All CATS+ Master Contractors approved to perform work in the functional area under which this TORFP is released are invited to submit a Task Order (TO) Proposal to this TORFP. Master Contractors choosing not to submit a proposal shall submit a Master Contractor Feedback form. The form is accessible via your CATS+ Master Contractor login screen and clicking on TORFP Feedback Response Form from the menu. In addition to the requirements of this TORFP, the Master Contractors are subject to all terms and conditions contained in the CATS+ RFP issued by the Maryland Department of Information Technology (DoIT) and subsequent Master Contract Project Number 060B2490023, including any amendments.

TORFP Title:	Education Data Warehouse Support and Maintenance
Functional Area:	Functional Area 5-SOFTWARE ENGINEERING
TORFP Issue Date:	TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 26, 2013
Closing Date and Time:	TUESDAY, JANUARY 28, 2014 no later than 2:00 PM ET
TORFP Requesting Agency:	Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) 200 West Baltimore Street Baltimore, MD 21201
Send Questions and Proposals to:	Dorothy M. Richburg, Procurement Officer drichburg@msde.state.md.us
Questions Due Date and Time	Monday, December 9 2013 no later than 2:00 PM ET
TO Procurement Officer:	Dorothy M. Richburg, Procurement Officer Office Phone Number: 410-767-0628 Office FAX Number: 410-333-2017
TO Manager:	Janice Johnson Office Phone Number: 410-767-0025 Office FAX Number: 410-333-2017
TO Project Number:	R00B4400011
TO Type:	Time and Materials
Period of Performance:	One year base period with four one year renewal options (5 years total)
MBE Goal:	0%
Small Business Reserve (SBR):	No
Primary Place of Performance:	MSDE 200 West Baltimore Street Baltimore, MD 21201
TO Pre-proposal Conference:	MSDE 200 West Baltimore Street 8 th Floor, CR 6 Baltimore, MD 21201 Thursday, December 12, 2013 @ 9:00 AM See Attachment 5 for directions.

SECTION 1 - ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

1.1 RESPONSIBILITY FOR TORFP AND TO AGREEMENT

The TO Procurement Officer has the primary responsibility for the management of the TORFP process, for the resolution of TO Agreement scope issues, and for authorizing any changes to the TO Agreement.

The TO Manager has the primary responsibility for the management of the work performed under the TO Agreement; administration functions, including issuing written directions; ensuring compliance with the terms and conditions of the CATS+ Master Contract; and, in conjunction with the selected Master Contractor, achieving on budget/on time/on target (e.g., within scope) completion of the Scope of Work.

1.2 TO AGREEMENT

Based upon an evaluation of TO Proposal responses, a Master Contractor will be selected to conduct the work defined in Section 2 - Scope of Work. A specific TO Agreement, Attachment 2, will then be entered into between the State and the selected Master Contractor, which will bind the selected Master Contractor (TO Contractor) to the contents of its TO Proposal, including the price proposal.

1.3 TO PROPOSAL SUBMISSIONS

The TO Procurement Officer will not accept submissions after the date and exact time stated in the Key Information Summary Sheet above. The date and time of submission is determined by the date and time of arrival in the TO Procurement Officer's e-mail box. The TO Proposal is to be submitted via e-mail attachment in PDF format and shall not exceed 10MB. The "subject" line in the e-mail submission shall state the TORFP #R00B4400011. The first email file will be the TO Technical Proposal for this TORFP titled, "CATS+ TORFP # R00B4400011Technical". The second email file will be the TO Financial Proposal for this CATS+ TORFP #R00B4400011titled, "CATS+ TORFP # R00B4400011Financial". The following proposal documents shall be submitted with required signatures as .PDF files with signatures clearly visible:

- Attachment 1 – Price Proposal
- Attachment 3 - Conflict of Interest Affidavit and Disclosure
- Attachment 4 – Labor Classification Personnel Resume Summary
- Attachment 12 –Living Wage Affidavit of Agreement
- Attachment 14 – Certification Regarding Investments in Iran

1.4 ORAL PRESENTATIONS/INTERVIEWS

All Master Contractors and proposed staff will be required to make an oral presentation to State representatives. Significant representations made by a Master Contractor during the oral presentation shall be submitted in writing. All such representations will become part of the Master Contractor's proposal and are binding, if the Contract is awarded. The Procurement Officer will notify Master Contractor of the time and place of interviews.

1.5 MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (MBE)

This Sub-section is not applicable to this TORFP.

1.6 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The TO Contractor awarded the TO Agreement shall provide IT technical and/or consulting services for State agencies or component programs with those agencies, and shall do so impartially and without any conflicts of interest. Each Master Contractor shall complete and include a Conflict of Interest Affidavit in the form included as Attachment 3 of this TORFP with its TO Proposal. If the TO Procurement Officer makes a determination that facts or circumstances exist that give rise to or could in the future give rise to a conflict of interest within the meaning of COMAR 21.05.08.08A, the TO Procurement Officer may reject a Master Contractor's TO Proposal under COMAR 21.06.02.03B.

Master Contractors should be aware that the State Ethics Law, State Government Article, §15-508, might limit the selected Master Contractor's ability to participate in future related procurements, depending upon specific circumstances.

1.7 NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

Certain system documentation may be available for potential Offerors to review at a reading room at MSDE – 200 West Baltimore Street, Baltimore, MD 21201. Offerors who review such documentation will be required to sign a Non-Disclosure Agreement (Offeror) in the form of Attachment 9. Please contact the TO Procurement Officer of this TORFP to schedule an appointment.

In addition, certain documentation may be required by the TO Contractor awarded the TO Agreement in order to fulfill the requirements of the TO Agreement. The TO Contractor, employees and agents who review such documents will be required to sign, including but not limited to, a Non-Disclosure Agreement (TO Contractor) in the form of Attachment 10.

1.8 LIMITATION OF LIABILITY CEILING

Pursuant to Section 27 (C) of the CATS+ Master Contract, the limitation of liability per claim under this TORFP shall not exceed the total TO Agreement amount.

1.9 CONTRACT MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

DoIT is responsible for contract management oversight on the CATS+ Master Contract. As part of that oversight, DoIT has implemented a process for self-reporting contract management activities of CATS+ task orders (TO). This process shall typically apply to active TOs for operations and maintenance services valued at \$1 million or greater, but all CATS+ TOs are subject to review.

Attachment 11 is a sample of the TO Contractor Self-Reporting Checklist. DoIT will send initial checklists out to applicable TO Contractors approximately three months after the award date for a TO. The TO Contractor shall complete and return the checklist as instructed on the checklist. Subsequently, at six month intervals from the due date on the initial checklist, the TO Contractor shall update and resend the checklist to DoIT.

1.10 QUESTIONS

All questions shall be submitted via email to the TO Procurement Officer no later than the date and time indicated in the Key Information Summary Sheet. Answers applicable to all Master Contractors will be distributed to all Master Contractors who are known to have received a copy of the TORFP.

Answers can be considered final and binding only when they have been answered in writing by the State.

1.11 TO PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

A pre-proposal conference will be held at the time, date and location indicated on the Key Information Summary Sheet. Attendance at the pre-proposal conference is not mandatory, but all Offerors are encouraged to attend in order to facilitate better preparation of their proposals.

Seating at pre-proposal conference will be limited to two (2) attendees per company. Attendees shall bring a copy of the TORFP and a business card to help facilitate the sign-in process.

The pre-proposal conference will be summarized in writing. As promptly as is feasible subsequent to the pre-proposal conference, the attendance record and pre-proposal summary will be distributed via email to all Master Contractors known to have received a copy of this TORFP.

In order to assure adequate seating and other accommodations at the pre-proposal conference please email the Procurement Officer indicating your planned attendance no later than three (3) business days prior to the pre-proposal conference. In addition, if there is a need for sign language interpretation and/or other special accommodations due to a disability, please contact the Procurement Officer no later than five (5) business days prior to the pre-proposal conference. The TO Requesting Agency will make reasonable efforts to provide such special accommodation.

1.12 CHANGE ORDERS

If the TO Contractor is required to perform work beyond the scope of Section 2 of this TORFP, or there is a work reduction due to unforeseen scope changes, a TO Change Order is required. The TO Contractor and TO Manager shall negotiate a mutually acceptable price modification based on the TO Contractor's proposed rates in the Master Contract and scope of the work change. No scope of work changes shall be performed until a change order is approved by DoIT and executed by the TO Procurement Officer.

1.13 TRAVEL REIMBURSEMENT

Expenses for travel performed in completing tasks for this TORFP shall be reimbursed in accordance with the CATS+ Master Contract.

1.14 LIVING WAGE

The Master Contractor shall abide by the Living Wage requirements under Title 18, State Finance and Procurement Article, Annotated Code of Maryland and the regulations proposed by the Commissioner of Labor and Industry. An Affidavit of Agreement shall be submitted as part of the Master Contract Agreement in accordance with the CATS+ Master Contract.

A proposal submitted by an Offeror shall be accompanied by a completed Living Wage Affidavit of Agreement. See Attachment 12 for a copy of the Living Wage Affidavit of Agreement.

1.15 IRANIAN NON-INVESTMENT

A proposal submitted by an Offeror shall be accompanied by a completed Certification Regarding Investments in Iran. A copy of this Certification is included as Attachment 13 of this TORFP.

THE REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

SECTION 2 - SCOPE OF WORK

2.1 PURPOSE

MSDE has assumed a progressive stance in the collection, validation and reporting of education accountability data. The State established its first Educational Data Warehouse (EDW) in 1999 and today strives to achieve the ten essential elements and fundamentals for PreK-12 longitudinal data systems established by the Data Quality Campaign, see www.dataqualitycampaign.org for additional information on the ten essential requirements.

With the increase in reporting requirements for federal and State longitudinal student data, MSDE is moving forward to increase the kinds of student data collected and analyzed. The enhanced data collection and reporting will meet new federal reporting requirements, and help Local Education Agencies (LEAs) design curriculums that ready students to meet their post-secondary goals.

The objective of this TORFP is to acquire the services of two full-time resources: a Senior Information Technology Architect Data Warehouse (DWH) Data Modeler and a Project Manager. This TORFP will be awarded to one Master Contractor who will provide the services of these resources.

The Senior Information Technology Architect DWH Data Modeler and Project Manager shall work with the Division of Curriculum, Assessment and Accountability (DCAA). The Senior Information Technology Architect DWH Data Modeler shall support and maintain the existing MSDE EDW to meet federal and State compliance reporting. The Project Manager shall manage the work performed under the task order and identify issues and risks. The Project Manager shall make recommendations for possible issues and risk mitigation strategies and ensures the work performed under the task order is within scope, consistent with requirements and delivered on time and on budget.

If TO Contractor is required to perform additional work, or there is a work reduction due to unforeseen scope changes, the TO Contractor and TO Manager shall negotiate a mutually acceptable modification to the contract based on the TO Contractor's Master Contract and scope of the work change. No scope of work modification shall be performed until a change order is approved by the TO Manager and DoIT and executed by the TO Procurement Officer.

2.2 REQUESTING AGENCY BACKGROUND

MSDE is a State agency that was established in 1916, and is overseen by The State Board of Education, to provide for the "general care and supervision of public education" in Maryland. MSDE is currently made up of 16 Divisions that oversee, develop, and support the delivery of PreK-12 education through 24 county schools systems.

This TORFP request is being issued by the MSDE's DCAA. DCAA is responsible for overseeing the collaborative effort of several divisions within MSDE and local school systems to develop, administer, score, and report key student assessments. DCAA also (1) produces the Maryland School Performance Program's Annual Report Card that provides accountability performance information for the state, LEAs and schools, and (2) maintains the EDW containing data from local school

systems that the annual report card is produced from MSDE’s EDW is used to retrieve and analyze queries from the legislature, the public, and other MSDE stakeholders.

2.3 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

TO Procurement Officer – The TO Procurement Officer has the primary responsibility for the management of the TORFP process, for the resolution of TO Agreement scope issues, and for authorizing any changes to the TO Agreement.

TO Manager– The TO Manager has the primary responsibility for the management of the work performed under the TO Agreement; administration functions, including issuing written directions; ensuring compliance with the terms and conditions of the CATS+ Master Contract; and, in conjunction with the selected Master Contractor, achieving on-budget/on-time/on target (e.g., within scope) completion of the Scope of Work.

TO Manager will assign tasks to the personnel provided under this TORFP and will track and monitor the work being performed through the monthly accounting of hours deliverable for work types, actual work produced will be reconciled with the hours reported.

TO Contractor – The CATS+ Master Contractor awarded the TO Agreement. The TO Contractor shall provide human resources as necessary to perform the services described in this TORFP Scope of Work.

TO Support Personnel – Any resource provided by the TO Contractor in support of this TORFP over the course of the TORFP period of performance.

2.4 SYSTEM ENVIRONMENT

The EDW system is a distributed, multi-tier system. Several Oracle databases are hosted in a multi-server Dell environment. Educational data is inserted into the Oracle databases via Informatica ETL programs. Reports and data analysis is performed using COGNOS C8 BI, SAS 10, and OBIEE 11g. Table 2.4 lists the current EDW components.

Table 2.4 EDW Components	
EDW Components	Component Description
Hardware Platforms	Dell R900 – 64 Bit
Operating System(s)	Windows 2008 R2 64 Bit
Databases	Oracle 11g SQL Server 2008
Middleware/Webserver	Oracle OAS MS IIS 7 Apache Server WebLogic 11g
ETL system	Informatica 9.1
Analytics/Reporting Tools	COGNOS C8 BI 8.4

	SAS 10 OBIEE 11g
Storage	Dell/EMCSAN
Network	TCP/IP
Development tools	Erwin Data Modeler SQL PL/SQL Toad or SQL Developer Oracle Designer Java HTML APEX HP Load Runner

2.5 REQUIREMENTS

Senior Information Technology Architect DWH Data Modeler– The Senior Information Technology Architect DWH Data Modeler, the resource assigned by the TO Contractor, shall support and maintain the EDW throughout the System Development Life Cycle (SDLC) data warehouse lifecycle.

2.5.1 **General role description:**

The Senior Information Technology Architect DWH Data Modeler shall:

1. Supply expertise in modeling and organizing information to facilitate support of projects or information architectures utilizing the most current principles and practices of architecture data management systems.
2. Perform the role of both a designer and architect dealing with state-of –the-art technologies, databases, reporting systems, and Extract, Transform and Load (ETL) software. The Master Contractor shall propose the CATS+ Labor Category that best fits the duties and responsibilities for this role.
3. Provide on-site and off-site technical support/troubleshooting; software upgrades, and enhancements to the following applications, systems and programs:
 - a) Maryland School Assessment (MSA)
 - b) Alternative Maryland School Assessment (AltMSA)
 - c) Maryland High School Assessment (HSA)
 - d) Teacher Qualifications
 - e) Student attendance and enrollment
 - f) Special Services Information
 - g) English as a Second Language
 - h) School Improvement Rewards and Recognition
 - i) Adequate Yearly Progress of the federal No Child Left Behind Act
 - j) Limited English Proficient students
 - k) MSA and HSA results for special groups of students
 - l) Race/Ethnicity/Gender Taxonomies
 - m) Gifted and Talented students
 - n) Other Factors: Wealth, Expenditures, Staff, and School Day/Year

- o) High School Completion Information
- p) Grade 12 Documented Decisions
- q) Graduation and Dropout Information
- r) College Readiness – SAT, ACT, PSAT, AP assessments
- s) Standardized Course Codes
- t) National Clearing House Post Secondary Enrollment
- u) Early Childhood Information
- v) Student Course Grade Teacher (SCGT)
- w) School Progress (SP)
- x) School Progress Index (SPI)
- y) Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Flexibility
- z) Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) Assessment

Project Manager– The Project Manager, the resource assigned by the TO Contractor, is to act as a facilitator between MSDE and the TO Contractor. The Project Manager manages the EDW support and maintenance project and the work performed under the resulting Task Order.

2.5.2 General role description:

The Project Manager shall:

1. Manage the project and the work performed under the task order.
2. Identify issues and risks and recommends possible issue and risk mitigation strategies associated with the project.
3. Ensure the work performed under the task order is within scope, consistent with requirements and delivered on time and on budget.

2.6 DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

2.6.1 Senior Information Technology Architect DWH Data Modeler shall support and maintain the existing MSDE EDW to meet federal and State compliance reporting. The Senior Information Technology Architect DWH Data Modeler shall also carry out assigned duties outlined below but are not limited to:

ID#	Responsibilities	Deliverable ID # From Section 2.6.2 Below
Requirement Analysis 2.6.1.1	Review and document data structures, ETL processes, and data quality routines of the EDW	Not applicable
Requirement Analysis 2.6.1.2	Map, gap, and compare MSDE EDW data structures to national data models to identify areas for modification or improvement	2.7.2.1 Gap Analysis Document with conceptual dimensional models
Requirement Analysis	Assist in the preparation of the Component Impact Summary for the eleven data domains to modify data	2.7.2.2 Component Impact

ID#	Responsibilities	Deliverable ID # From Section 2.6.2 Below
2.6.1.3	structures, data sources, ELT processes, and quality routines of the EDW	Summary that lists EDW components that will require changes including data structures, reports, statistical analyses, ETLs, data quality routines, data collection routines
Design 2.6.1.4	Participate with the DCAA team to analyze and propose new or changes to data structures, ETL programs, transformations, indexes, data staging areas, summary tables, and data quality routine based upon a redesign activities	2.7.2.3 ERD and conceptual dimensional models per data domain to be changed
Design 2.6.1.5	Assist in preparation of Design Change Component Summary for each data domain, for the proposed changes to that domain, for analytics, for data structures, for reports, for ETL programs, for transformations, for indexes, for data staging areas, for summary tables, and for data quality routines based upon a redesign activities.	2.7.2.4 Functional requirements & Detail Design Specifications for changes for each data domain to be changed
Development Planning 2.6.1.6	Assist in the preparation of development and conversion strategies, and documentation for modifying or creating new data structures, ETL programs, transformations, indexes, data staging areas, summary tables, and data quality routine based upon redesign activities.	2.7.2.5 Development Approach for each data domain to be changed
Development Planning 2.6.1.7	Develop strategies for data acquisitions, archiving, recovery, and implementation of a reporting database on an enterprise level.	2.7.2.5 Development Approach for each data domain to be changed
Development 2.6.1.8	Define, prepare, and develop data sets, Oracle data tables, document data structures, ETL programs, transformations, indexes, data staging areas, summary tables, data quality routines, summary tables, indexes, etc. based upon a redesign activities for each of the data domains as needed for the EDW.	2.7.2.6 ETL programs and Maps
Testing Planning 2.6.1.9	Create test plan cases and documentation for each data domain, for the proposed changes to that domain, for its data structures, data, ETL programs, analytics,	2.6.2.7 Test Case Definitions

ID#	Responsibilities	Deliverable ID # From Section 2.6.2 Below
	reports, and data quality routines.	
Testing 2.6.1.10	Assist in testing change cases, and preparing test results, for each data domain, for the proposed changes to that domain, for analytics, reports, and data quality routines.	2.7.2.8 Test Results with Change Request Attachments
Implementation and Conversion 2.6.1.11	Assist in preparing conversion schedules, cut-over procedures, and cut-over component checklists	2.7.2.9 Conversion Schedule Cut-Over Procedures Cut-Over Checklist
Implementation and Conversion 2.6.1.12	Assist in implementation activities.	2.7.2.9 Conversion Schedule Cut-Over Procedures Cut-Over Checklist
Implementation and Conversion 2.6.1.13	Assist in conversion and cut-over procedures to implement changes to data structures, data, ETL programs, and data quality routines and document the results.	2.7.2.9 Conversion Schedule Cut-Over Procedures Cut-Over Checklist
Implementation and Conversion 2.6.1.14	Assist in the quality assurance activities to verify successful conversion before system goes live.	2.7.2.9 Conversion Schedule Cut-Over Procedures Cut-Over Checklist

2.6.2 Project Manager shall manage the EDW support and maintenance project and the work performed under task order. The Project Manager shall also carry out assigned duties outlined below but are not limited to:

ID#	Responsibilities	Deliverable ID # From Section 2.6.2 Below
Planning Analysis 2.6.2.1	Develop and then maintains the Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) on MSDE EDW activities using Microsoft Project 2007 on a weekly basis.	2.7.2.12 Project Management Plan

ID#	Responsibilities	Deliverable ID # From Section 2.6.2 Below
Planning Analysis 2.6.2.2	Prepare a Project Management Plan (PMP) document that meets the State SDLC methodology under the Planning Phase. It shall document project scope, tasks, schedules resources and any interrelationships with other projects. The plan shall have a change management section to define the process to address project scope changes.	2.7.2.12 Project Management Plan
Planning Analysis 2.6.2.3	Prepare a Project Schedule. The schedule shall detail the functional units involved, required job tasks, cost and schedule performance measurement, milestone and review scheduling. It shall include a work breakdown structure for the project using Microsoft Project 2007 or higher.	2.7.2.19 Project Schedule
Planning Analysis 2.6.2.4	Revise the PMP at the end of each phase of the SDLC.	2.7.2.12 Project Management Plan
Entire SDLC 2.6.2.5	Attend all meetings between MSDE staff and the TO Contractor. Project Manager shall document and provide meeting minutes to be reviewed and approved by the TO Manager.	2.7.2.13 Meeting Minutes
Monthly Status 2.6.2.6	Prepare a monthly status report for MSDE of the project five (5) business days after the end of each month. The status report shall cover the milestones due that month and any overdue milestones, status of all tasks, new risks identified, scope changes and issues. Issues shall include identification, escalation and resolution steps.	2.7.2.14 Monthly Status Report
Quarterly Status 2.6.2.7	Prepare for the TO Manager a quarterly status report five (5) business days after the end of each calendar quarter.	2.7.2.15 Quarterly Status Report
As Needed 2.6.2.8	Manage change control, issues escalation and resolution, schedule, costs, and resources as defined in the Project Management Plan.	2.7.2.16 Change Control Document
Design – Test 2.6.2.9	Ensure appropriate product-related training and documentation are developed and made available to customers through project team meetings.	2.7.2.17 Training Plan Document
Concept 2.6.2.10	Prepare a System Boundary Analysis and Risk Management Plan document that meets the State SDLC methodology under the System Concept Development Phase.	2.7.2.18 System Boundary Analysis Document Risk Management Plan Document

2.7 DELIVERABLES

2.7.1 DELIVERABLE SUBMISSION PROCESS

For each written deliverable, draft and final, the TO Contractor shall submit to the TO Manager one hard copy and one electronic copy compatible with the latest versions of Microsoft Office, Microsoft Project and/or Microsoft Visio applications.

Drafts of all final deliverables are required at least two weeks in advance of when all final deliverables are due. Written deliverables defined as draft documents shall demonstrate due diligence in meeting the scope and requirements of the associated final written deliverable. A draft written deliverable may contain limited structural errors such as poor grammar, misspellings or incorrect punctuation, but shall:

- A) Be presented in a format appropriate for the subject matter and depth of discussion.
- B) Be organized in a manner that presents a logical flow of the deliverable's content.
- C) Represent factual information reasonably expected to have been known at the time of submittal.
- D) Present information that is relevant to the Section of the deliverable being discussed.
- E) Represent a significant level of completeness towards the associated final written deliverable that supports a concise final deliverable acceptance process.

Upon completion of a deliverable, the TO Contractor shall document each deliverable in final form to the TO Manager for acceptance. The TO Contractor shall memorialize such delivery in an Agency Receipt of Deliverable Form (Attachment 7). The TO Manager shall countersign the Agency Receipt of Deliverable Form indicating receipt of the contents described therein.

Upon receipt of a final deliverable, the TO Manager shall commence a review of the deliverable as required to validate the completeness and quality in meeting requirements. Upon completion of validation, the TO Manager shall issue to the TO Contractor notice of acceptance or rejection of the deliverables in an Agency Acceptance of Deliverable Form (Attachment 8). In the event of rejection, the TO Contractor shall correct the identified deficiencies or non-conformities. Subsequent project tasks may not continue until deficiencies with a deliverable are rectified and accepted by the TO Manager or the TO Manager has specifically issued, in writing, a waiver for conditional continuance of project tasks. Once the State's issues have been addressed and resolutions are accepted by the TO Manager, the TO Contractor will incorporate the resolutions into the deliverable and resubmit the deliverable for acceptance. Accepted deliverables shall be invoiced within 30 days in the applicable invoice format (Reference 2.10 Invoicing).

A written deliverable defined as a final document shall satisfy the scope and requirements of this TORFP for that deliverable. Final written deliverables shall not contain structural errors such as poor grammar, misspellings or incorrect punctuation, and shall:

- A) Be presented in a format appropriate for the subject matter and depth of discussion.
- B) Be organized in a manner that presents a logical flow of the deliverable's content.
- C) Represent factual information reasonably expected to have been known at the time of submittal.
- D) Present information that is relevant to the Section of the deliverable being discussed.

The State required deliverables are defined below. Within each task, the TO Contractor may suggest other subtasks or deliverables to improve the quality and success of the project.

2.7.2 DELIVERABLE DESCRIPTIONS / ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

Deliverable ID#	Deliverable Description	Acceptance Criteria	Due Date / Frequency
2.7.2.1	Gap Analysis Document with conceptual dimensional models	A MS Word document that shall contain: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Name, date, reporting period • MSDE EDW data structures • Areas for modification and/or improvement • Consistent with industry best practices and State’s SDLC requirements. 	November 1 st of Calendar Year.
2.7.2.2	Change Component Summary that lists EDW components that will require changes including data structures, reports, statistical analyses, ETLs, data quality routines, data collection routines	A MS Word document that shall contain: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Name, date, reporting period • List each EDW component that needs to be modified including changes to any data structures, reports, statistical analyses, ETLs, data quality routines, data collection routines. • Consistent with industry best practices and State’s SDLC requirements. 	November 1 st of Calendar Year.
2.7.2.3	ERD and conceptual dimensional models per data domain to be changed	ERD and dimensional models produced by modeling tool like Erwin or SQL Developer Data Modeler. Consistent with industry best practices.	November 1 st of Calendar Year.
2.7.2.4	Functional Requirements & Detail Design Specifications for changes for each data domain to be changed	A MS Word document that shall contain: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Name, date, reporting period • functional and technical design specifications for each modification made to each data domain • Consistent with industry best practices and State’s SDLC requirements. 	November 1 st of Calendar Year.
2.7.2.5	Development Approach for each data domain to be changed	A MS Word document that shall contain: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Name, date, reporting period 	November 1 st of Calendar Year.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Method taken making modifications to each data domain to be changed. • Consistent with industry best practices and State's SDLC requirements. 	
2.7.2.6	<p>Star schema designs Summary table definitions Staging area table definitions ETL programs ETL scheduler events Data lineage mappings Data Quality routines Conversion routines Index recommendations Surrogate key definitions</p>	<p>A MS Word document that shall contain:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Name, date, reporting period • Consistent with industry best practices and State's SDLC requirements. 	April 1 st of Calendar Year.
2.7.2.7	Test Case Definitions	<p>A MS Word document that shall contain:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Name, date, reporting period • Document test cases used in testing consistent with industry best practices and State's SDLC requirements. 	April 1 st of Calendar Year.
2.7.2.8	Test Results	<p>A MS Word document that shall contain:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Name, date, reporting period • Outcome of test cases. • Any modifications that need to occur based upon testing. • Consistent with industry best practices and State's SDLC requirements. 	April 1 st of Calendar Year.
2.7.2.9	<p>Conversion Schedule Cut-Over Procedures Cut- Over Checklist</p>	<p>A MS Word document that shall contain:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Name, date, reporting period • Conversion schedule • Cut-over procedures and checklist • Consistent with industry best practices and State's SDLC requirements. 	April 1 st of Calendar Year.
2.7.2.10	System goes live and all	Quality data content delivered	As described in

	data structures completed, quality assured and ready for public reporting release of results as documented in the timeline in Appendix A.	on time as documented in Appendix A.	Appendix A
2.7.2.11	All data structures completed, quality assured and ready for federal compliance reporting as documented in the timeline in Appendix B.	Quality data content delivered on time as documented in Appendix B.	As described in Appendix B
2.7.2.12	Project Management Plan with WBS	A MS Word document that shall contain: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Name, date, reporting period • Tasks broken down to weekly basis • Update plan when changes occur. • Consistent with industry best practices and State's SDLC requirements. 	NTP + 10 Calendar Days. Update on a weekly basis.
2.7.2.13	Meetings and Meeting minutes	TO Contractor is expected to attend all project planning and review meetings as scheduled on the Project Calendar and prepare A MS Word document that shall contain meeting minutes and include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • attendees, • meeting notes, • resolutions, and • action items. 	2 business days after meeting.
2.7.2.14	Monthly Status Report	Status report, in MS Word, shall cover: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • milestones due that month and any overdue milestones, • status of all tasks, • new risks identified, • scope changes, and 	Delivered on time by 12:00pm (noon) of 5 th day of the following month.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> any other project issues. <p>Issues shall include identification, escalation and resolution steps.</p>	
2.7.2.15	Quarterly Status Report	<p>Status report, in MS Word, shall cover:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> milestones due that quarter, any overdue milestones, status of all tasks, new risks identified, scope changes other project issues. <p>Issues shall include identification, escalation and resolution steps.</p>	5 business days after the end of each calendar quarter
2.7.2.16	Change Control Issues Identified	<p>MS Word document to manage change control. Document shall include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> issues escalation and resolution, schedule, costs, and resources as defined in the Project Management Plan. 	November 1 st of Calendar Year.
2.7.2.17	Training Plan Document	<p>MS Word document shall list the training performed and the supporting documentation. Consistent with State's SDLC requirements.</p>	November 1 st of Calendar Year.
2.7.2.18	Risk Management Plan	<p>MS Word document shall list TO Contractor Risk Management Plan. Consistent with State's SDLC requirements.</p>	November 1 st of Calendar Year.
2.7.2.19	Project Schedule	<p>Microsoft Project 2007 or higher document that provides the project schedule. The schedule shall detail:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> units involved, required job tasks, cost, schedule performance measurement, milestone, and review scheduling. 	NTP + XX Calendar Days. Updated weekly.

2.8 REQUIRED PROJECT POLICIES, GUIDELINES AND METHODOLOGIES

The TO Contractor shall be required to comply with all applicable laws, regulations, policies, standards and guidelines affecting information technology projects, which may be created or changed periodically. The TO Contractor shall adhere to and remain abreast of current, new, and revised laws, regulations, policies, standards and guidelines affecting project execution. The following policies, guidelines and methodologies can be found at <http://doit.maryland.gov/policies/Pages/ContractPolicies.aspx> under “Policies and Guidance.” These may include, but are not limited to:

- The State of Maryland System Development Life Cycle (SDLC) methodology
- The State of Maryland Information Technology Security Policies
- The State of Maryland Information Technology Project Oversight
- The State of Maryland Enterprise Architecture
- The TO Contractor shall follow the project management methodologies that are consistent with the Project Management Institute’s Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK) Guide. TO Contractor’s staff and subcontractors are to follow a consistent methodology for all TO activities.

2.9 TO CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS

The following minimum qualifications are mandatory. Each of the Master Contractor’s staff shall demonstrate expertise in the following:

Senior Information Technology Architect DWH Data Modeler:

- Education: BS in computer science or information systems.
- Five (5) years of data warehousing design and development knowledge
- Five (5) years of Informatica9.x ETL development and administration
- Five (5) years of working with Oracle 11g or higher
- Five (5) years of creating and updating data models using Erwin modeling tool
- Five (5) years or higher programming in all of SQL,PL/SQL, HTML
- Five (5) years of creating Entity Relationship Diagram and dimensional data modeling
- At least one (1) year of documented experience in each of:
 - Informatica Data Integration Certification
 - ETLs process design, development, and performance tuning
 - Data modeling using ERD and dimensional models for highly conformed data marts, relational operational data stores, and star-schemas.
 - Oracle DBA skills with and ability to define indexes, create and edit tables, monitor Oracle performance
 - Informatica9.x system administrator skills and training
 - Data warehouse design and development
- General Work Experience: Minimum of five(5) years of experience planning, designing, building, and implementing data warehouses using Informatica creating ETL and data quality programs, and developing data warehouse structures.
- Minimum of five (5) years verifiable experience working with end-users in defining and preparing detail specifications for data warehouses, ETL programs, and quality routines.

Project Manager:

- Education: BS in computer science, information systems, engineering, business or other related discipline.

- Current Project management certification, for a minimum of five(5) years by PMI is required. This certification must be maintained during the course of this TO.
- Documented experience in:
 - Managing three(3) successful IT related projects that were delivered on time and on budget.
- General Work Experience: At least five (5) years of experience in IT project management

2.10 TO CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL OTHER REQUIREMENTS

The TO Contractor Personnel shall demonstrate a level of expertise in the following:

Senior Information Technology Architect DWH Data Modeler:

- General Industry Experience: At least 2 years K-12 or Higher Education industry data warehousing experience.
- Good oral and written communication skills. This will be validated during the interview process. Candidates will be required to submit samples of past written communications for review. Sensitive information can be redacted. Oral communication skills can and will be validated during the interview process.

Project Manager:

- Good oral and written communication skills. This will be validated during the interview process. Candidates will be required to submit samples of past written communications for review. Sensitive information can be redacted. Oral communication skills can and will be validated during the interview process.

2.11 INVOICING

Invoicing will be based on a time and materials contract where invoice payments to the TO Contractor shall be governed by the terms and conditions defined in the CATS+ Master Contract. A proper invoice for payment shall contain the TO Contractor's Federal Tax Identification Number, as well as the information described below, and shall be submitted to the TO Manager for payment approval. Payment of invoices will be withheld if a signed Acceptance of Deliverable form – Attachment 8, is not submitted.

2.11.1 INVOICE SUBMISSION PROCEDURE

This procedure consists of the following requirements and steps:

- A) The invoice shall identify the MSDE as the TO Requesting Agency, deliverable description, associated TO Agreement number, date of invoice, period of performance covered by the invoice, and a TO Contractor point of contact with telephone number.
- B) The TO Contractor shall send the original of each invoice and supporting documentation (itemized billing reference for employees and any subcontractor and signed Acceptance of Deliverable form – Attachment 8, for each deliverable being invoiced submitted for payment to the MSDE at the following address:

Maryland State Department of Education
 Attention: Accounts Payable
 200 West Baltimore Street
 Baltimore, MD 21201

- C) Invoices for final payment shall be clearly marked as “FINAL” and submitted when all work requirements have been completed and no further charges are to be incurred under the TO Agreement. In no event shall any invoice be submitted later than 60 calendar days from the TO Agreement termination date.

2.12 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

The TO Contractor shall ensure continuing education opportunities for the personnel provided to support this TORFP. Further, any IT services personnel obtained under this TORFP shall maintain any required professional certifications for the duration of the resulting TO. With TO Manager prior approval, the time allocated to these continuing education activities for staff deployed to MSDE on a full-time basis may be charged to this task order. Actual course costs, travel, and related expenses are the responsibility of the TO Contractor. Eligible continuing education shall be associated with technologies currently used or anticipated for use by MSDE in the near future.

2.13 PERFORMANCE AND PERSONNEL

2.13.1 WORK HOURS

- Business Hours Support: The TO Contractor’s collective assigned personnel shall support core business hours (8AM to 5PM), Monday through Friday except for State holidays, Service Reduction days, and Furlough days observed by the Agency. TO Contractor personnel may also be required to provide occasional support outside of core business hours, including evenings, overnight, and weekends, to support: specific efforts and emergencies to resolve system repair or restoration.
- Scheduled non-Business Hours Support: After hours support may be necessary to respond to IT Security emergency situations. Additionally, services may also involve some evening and/or weekend hours performing planned system upgrades in addition to core business hours. Hours performing system upgrades would be billed on actual time worked at the rates proposed.
- State Mandated Service Reduction Days: TO Contractor personnel shall be required to participate in the State mandated Service Reduction Days as well as State Furlough Days. In this event, the TO Contractor will be notified in writing by the TO Manager of these details.
- Minimum and Maximum Hours: Full-time TO Contractor personnel shall work a minimum of 40 hours per week with starting and ending times as approved by TO Manager. A flexible work schedule may be used with TO Manager approval, including time to support any efforts outside core business hours. TO Contractor personnel may also be requested to restrict the number of hours TO Contractor personnel can work within a given period of time that may result in less than an eight hour day or less than a 40 hour work week.
- Vacation Hours: Requests for leave shall be submitted to the TO Manager at least two weeks in advance. The TO Manager reserves the right to request a temporary replacement if leave extends longer than one consecutive week. In cases where there is insufficient coverage, a leave request may be denied.

2.13.2 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

TO Contractor personnel will be evaluated by the TO Manager on a monthly basis for assignments performed during that period. The TO Manager shall evaluate performance of

each TO Contractor resource using the established performance evaluation form included as Attachment 15.

2.13.3 PERFORMANCE ISSUE MITIGATION

At any time during the task order period of performance, should the performance of a TO Contractor resource be rated “unsatisfactory” as documented in the performance evaluation, TO Requesting Agency will pursue the following mitigation procedures prior to requesting a replacement employee:

- A) The TO Manager shall document performance issues and give written notice to the TO Contractor, clearly describing problems and delineating remediation requirement(s).
- B) The TO Contractor shall respond with a written remediation plan within three business days and implement the plan immediately upon written acceptance by the TO Manager.
- C) Should performance issues persist, the TO Manager may give written notice or request the immediate removal of person(s) whose performance is at issue, and determine whether a substitution is required.

2.13.4 SUBSTITUTION OF PERSONNEL

The substitution of personnel procedures is as follows:

A) Post Award

Sections labeled Substitutions of Personnel applies to substitutions after the TO Agreement has been awarded. Once awarded, the Master Contractor/s are required to replace resources named in the TO Proposal, if necessary.

B) Pre-Award

Substitutions of proposed personnel during the evaluation period, prior to award, are prohibited. Substitutions of any kind post proposal due date, but prior to award are considered to be the equivalent of an alternate proposal and are prohibited.

Agencies must make a good faith effort to evaluate TO Proposals in a timely manner to help mitigate the risk of a Master Contractor losing named resources prior to TORFP award.

THE REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

SECTION 3 - TASK ORDER PROPOSAL FORMAT AND SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

3.1 REQUIRED RESPONSE

Each Master Contractor receiving this CATS+ TORFP shall respond within the submission time designated in the Key Information Summary Sheet. Each Master Contractor is required to submit one of two possible responses: 1) a proposal; or 2) a completed Master Contractor Feedback Form. The feedback form helps the State understand for future contract development why Master Contractors did not submit proposals. The form is accessible via the CATS+ Master Contractor login screen and clicking on TORFP Feedback Response Form from the menu.

3.2 TO PROPOSAL FORMAT

If a Master Contractor elects to submit a TO Proposal, the Master Contractor shall do so in conformance with the requirements of this CATS+ TORFP. A TO Proposal shall contain the following sections in order:

3.3 TO TECHNICAL PROPOSAL

A) Proposed Services

- 1) Executive Summary: A high level overview of the Master Contractor's understanding of the background, purpose, and objectives of the TORFP. The Executive Summary shall summarize the Master Contractor's capabilities and experience, and summarize the proposed methodology and solution for achieving the objectives of the TORFP.
- 2) Proposed Solution: A detailed narrative of the Master Contractor's proposed methodology and solution for completing the requirements and deliverables in Section 2 - Scope of Work.
- 3) Assumptions: A description of any assumptions formed by the Master Contractor in developing the Technical Proposal. Master Contractors should avoid assumptions that counter or constitute exceptions to TORFP terms and conditions.

B) Proposed Personnel

- 1) Identify and provide one and only one (1) resume for each position by labor category. The resume shall feature prominently the proposed personnel's skills and experience as they relate to the Master Contractor's proposed solution and Section 2 – Scope of Work.

Complete and provide, at the interview, Attachment 4 – Labor Classification Personnel Resume Summary. Include evidence that all proposed personnel meet the minimum required qualifications and possess the required certifications in accordance with Section 2.9.

- 2) Provide the names and titles of the Master Contractor's management staff who will supervise the personnel and quality of services rendered under this TO Agreement.

C) Subcontractors

Identify all proposed subcontractors, including MBEs, and their roles in the performance of Section 2 - Scope of Work.

D) Master Contractor and Subcontractor Experience and Capabilities

- 1) Provide up to three (3) references for projects or contracts each Master Contractor's proposed candidate has completed that were similar to Section 2 - Scope of Work. Each example shall include contact information for the client organization complete with the following:
 - a) Name of organization.
 - b) Point of contact name, title, e-mail and telephone number (point of contact shall be accessible and knowledgeable regarding experience)
 - c) Services provided as they relate to Section 2 - Scope of Work.
 - d) Start and end dates for each example project or contract. If the Master Contractor is no longer providing the services, explain why not.
- 2) State of Maryland Experience: If applicable, the Master Contractor shall submit a list of all contracts it currently holds or has held within the past five (5) years with any entity of the State of Maryland. For each identified contract, the Master Contractor shall provide:
 - a) Name of organization.
 - b) Point of contact name, title, and telephone number (point of contact shall be accessible and knowledgeable regarding experience)
 - c) Services provided as they relate to Section 2 - Scope of Work.
 - d) Start and end dates for each example project or contract. If the Master Contractor is no longer providing the services, explain why not.
 - e) Dollar value of the contract.
 - f) Whether the contract was terminated before the original expiration date.
 - g) Whether any renewal options were not exercised.

Note - State of Maryland experience can be included as part of Section D2 above as project or contract experience. State of Maryland experience is neither required nor given more weight in proposal evaluations.

E) Proposed Facility

Identify Master Contractor's facilities, including address, from which any work will be performed.

F) State Assistance

Provide an estimate of expectation concerning participation by State personnel.

G) Confidentiality

A Master Contractor should give specific attention to the identification of those portions of its proposal that it considers confidential, proprietary commercial information or trade secrets, and provide justification why such materials, upon request, should not be disclosed by the State under the Public Information Act, Title 10, Subtitle 6, of the State Government Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland. Master Contractors are advised

that, upon request for this information from a third party, the TO Procurement Officer will be required to make an independent determination regarding whether the information may be disclosed.

3.4 TO FINANCIAL PROPOSAL

- A) A description of any assumptions on which the Master Contractor's TO Financial Proposal is based (Assumptions shall not constitute conditions, contingencies, or exceptions to the price proposal);
- B) Attachment 1 Completed Price Proposal with all rates fully loaded. Note: Failure to specify a CATS+ labor category in the completed Price Proposal for each proposed resource will make the TO proposal non-responsive to this TORFP. Proposed rates are not to exceed the rates defined in the Master Contract for the Master Contract year(s) in effect at the time of the TO Proposal due date.

THE REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

SECTION 4 – TASK ORDER AWARD PROCESS

4.1 OVERVIEW

The TO Contractor will be selected from among all eligible Master Contractors within the appropriate functional area responding to the CATS+ TORFP. In making the TO Agreement award determination, the TO Requesting Agency will consider all information submitted in accordance with Section 3.

4.2 TOPROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

The following are technical criteria for evaluating a TO Proposal in descending order of importance.

1. Experience on the resume and from the references of the candidates to perform the roles and skills listed in Sections 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 and as instructed in Section 3.3.B.1, 3.3.B.2, and 3.3.D.
2. Experience of the candidate to use the tools and technologies listed in Section 2.6.

4.3 SELECTION PROCEDURES

- A) TO Proposals will be assessed throughout the evaluation process for compliance with the minimum personnel qualifications in Section 2.9 and quality of responses to Section 3.3 of the TORFP. TO Proposals deemed technically qualified will have their financial proposal considered. All others will be deemed not reasonably susceptible to award and will receive e-mail notice from the TO Procurement Officer of not being selected to perform the work.
- B) The State will require in person interviews with all qualified personnel proposed by each of the qualified Master Contractors.
- C) If the evaluation committee determines the proposed TO Contractor personnel is unable to correctly respond to the technical questions, it will be documented and the proposed TO Contractor will be determined non-susceptible for award and financials will not be considered
- D) Qualified TO Financial Proposals will be reviewed and ranked from lowest to highest price proposed.
- E) The most advantageous TO Proposal offer considering technical and financial submission shall be selected for the work assignment. In making this selection, the technical will have greater weight than the financial.

4.4 COMMENCEMENT OF WORK UNDER A TO AGREEMENT

Commencement of work in response to a TO Agreement shall be initiated only upon issuance of a fully executed TO Agreement, a Non-Disclosure Agreement (TO Contractor), a Purchase Order, and by a Notice to Proceed authorized by the TO Procurement Officer. See Attachment 6 - Notice to Proceed (sample).

ATTACHMENT 1 – PRICE PROPOSAL

EDUCATION DATA WAREHOUSE SUPPORT AND MAINTENANCE

PRICE PROPOSAL - FOR CATS+ TORFP # R00B4400011

A year for this task order shall be calculated as one calendar year from NTP. **Labor Rate Maximums:** The maximum labor rate that may be proposed for any CATS+ Labor Category shall not exceed the maximum for the CATS+ Master Contract year in effect on the TO Proposal due date.

BASE YEAR 1

Role Name	CATS+ Master Contract Labor Category	A	B	C
		Fully Loaded Hourly Labor Rate	Maximum Estimated No. of Hours	Total Proposed CATS+ TORFP Price
Project Manager	<Master Contractor to insert CATS+ Labor Category>	\$	208	\$
Senior Information Technology Architect DWH Data Modeler	<Master Contractor to insert CATS+ Labor Category>	\$	2080	\$
Total Evaluated Cost(Year 1)				\$

OPTION YEAR 2

Role Name	CATS+ Master Contract Labor Category	A	B	C
		Fully Loaded Hourly Labor Rate	Maximum Estimated No. of Hours	Total Proposed CATS+ TORFP Price
Project Manager	<Master Contractor to insert CATS+ Labor Category>	\$	208	\$
Senior Information Technology Architect DWH Data Modeler	<Master Contractor to insert CATS+ Labor Category>	\$	2080	\$
Total Evaluated Cost(Option Year 2)				\$

**ATTACHMENT 1 – PRICE PROPOSAL
EDUCATION DATA WAREHOUSE SUPPORT AND MAINTENANCE**

PRICE PROPOSAL - FOR CATS+ TORFP # R00B4400011

OPTION YEAR 3

Role Name	CATS+ Master Contract Labor Category	A	B	C
		Fully Loaded Hourly Labor Rate	Maximum Estimated No. of Hours	Total Proposed CATS+ TORFP Price
Project Manager	<Master Contractor to insert CATS+ Labor Category>	\$	208	\$
Senior Information Technology Architect DWH Data Modeler	<Master Contractor to insert CATS+ Labor Category>	\$	2080	\$
	Total Evaluated Cost(Option Year 3)			\$

OPTION YEAR 4

Role Name	CATS+ Master Contract Labor Category	A	B	C
		Fully Loaded Hourly Labor Rate	Maximum Estimated No. of Hours	Total Proposed CATS+ TORFP Price
Project Manager	<Master Contractor to insert CATS+ Labor Category>	\$	208	\$
Senior Information Technology Architect DWH Data Modeler	<Master Contractor to insert CATS+ Labor Category>	\$	2080	\$
	Total Evaluated Cost(Option Year 4)			\$

**ATTACHMENT 1 – PRICE PROPOSAL
EDUCATION DATA WAREHOUSE SUPPORT AND MAINTENANCE**

PRICE PROPOSAL - FOR CATS+ TORFP # R00B4400011

OPTION YEAR 5

Role Name	CATS+ Master Contract Labor Category	A	B	C
		Fully Loaded Hourly Labor Rate	Maximum Estimated No. of Hours	Total Proposed CATS+ TORFP Price
Project Manager	<Master Contractor to insert CATS+ Labor Category>	\$	208	\$
Senior Information Technology ArchitectDWH Data Modeler	<Master Contractor to insert CATS+ Labor Category>	\$	2080	\$
Total Evaluated Price (Option Year 5)				\$

The Hourly Labor Rate is the actual rate the State will pay for services and shall be recorded in dollars and cents. The Hourly Labor Rate cannot exceed the Master Contract Rate, but may be lower. Hourly Labor Rate shall be assumed to be fully loaded rates which shall include all direct and indirect costs including all travel costs and profit for the Master Contractor to perform under the TO Agreement. **The total hours listed above are to be considered as estimated only and not to be construed as guaranteed billable hours.** Actual hours will be compensated at the total number of hours performed.

**ATTACHMENT 1 – PRICE PROPOSAL
 EDUCATION DATA WAREHOUSE SUPPORT AND MAINTENANCE
 PRICE PROPOSAL - FOR CATS+ TORFP # R00B4400011**

SUMMARY

YEAR	ANNUAL EVALUATED PRICE
BASE YEAR 1	\$
OPTION YEAR 2	\$
OPTION YEAR 3	\$
OPTION YEAR 4	\$
OPTION YEAR 5	\$
TOTAL EVALUATED PRICE	\$

VENDOR'S NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE _____

TELEPHONE NO. _____ FAX NO. _____

FIN _____ DUNS NO _____

EMAIL ADDRESS _____

SIGNATURE _____

PRINTED NAME _____

TITLE _____ DATE _____

ATTACHMENT 2 – TASK ORDER AGREEMENT

CATS+ TORFP# OF MASTER CONTRACT

This Task Order Agreement (“TO Agreement”) is made this **day** of **Month**, 2013 by and between **Task Order Contractor (TO Contractor)** and the MARYLAND STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (MSDE).

IN CONSIDERATION of the mutual premises and the covenants herein contained and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties agree as follows:

1. Definitions. In this TO Agreement, the following words have the meanings indicated:
 - a. “Agency” means the Maryland State Department of Education, as identified in the CATS+ TORFP # .
 - b. “CATS+ TORFP” means the Task Order Request for Proposals # , dated **MONTH DAY, YEAR**, including any addenda.
 - c. “Master Contract” means the CATS+ Master Contract between the Maryland Department of Information Technology and **TO Contractor** dated **MONTH DAY, YEAR**.
 - d. “TO Procurement Officer” means Albert Annan. The Agency may change the TO Procurement Officer at any time by written notice to the TO Contractor.
 - e. “TO Agreement” means this signed TO Agreement between MSDE and **TO Contractor**.
 - f. “TO Contractor” means the CATS+ Master Contractor awarded this TO Agreement, whose principal business address is _____.
 - g. “TO Manager” means **TO Manager** of the Agency. The Agency may change the TO Manager at any time by written notice to the TO Contractor.
 - h. “TO Technical Proposal” means the TO Contractor’s technical response to the CATS+ TORFP dated **date of TO Technical Proposal**.
 - i. “TO Financial Proposal” means the TO Contractor’s financial response to the CATS+ TORFP dated **date of TO Financial Proposal**.
 - j. “TO Proposal” collectively refers to the TO Proposal – Technical and TO Proposal – Financial.
2. Scope of Work
 - 2.1 This TO Agreement incorporates all of the terms and conditions of the Master Contract and shall not in any way amend, conflict with or supercede the Master Contract.
 - 2.2 The TO Contractor shall, in full satisfaction of the specific requirements of this TO Agreement, provide the services set forth in Section 2 of the CATS+ TORFP. These services shall be provided in accordance with the Master Contract, this TO Agreement, and the following Exhibits, which are attached and incorporated herein by reference. If there is any conflict among the Master Contract, this TO Agreement, and these Exhibits, the terms of the Master Contract shall govern. If there is any conflict between this TO Agreement and any of these Exhibits, the following order of precedence shall determine the prevailing provision:
 - a. The TO Agreement,
 - b. Exhibit A – CATS+ TORFP

- c. Exhibit B – TO Technical Proposal
- d. Exhibit C – TO Financial Proposal

2.3 The TO Procurement Officer may, at any time, by written order, make changes in the work within the general scope of the TO Agreement. No other order, statement or conduct of the TO Procurement Officer or any other person shall be treated as a change or entitle the TO Contractor to an equitable adjustment under this Section. Except as otherwise provided in this TO Agreement, if any change under this Section causes an increase or decrease in the TO Contractor's cost of, or the time required for, the performance of any part of the work, whether or not changed by the order, an equitable adjustment in the TO Agreement price shall be made and the TO Agreement modified in writing accordingly. The TO Contractor must assert in writing its right to an adjustment under this Section within thirty (30) days of receipt of written change order and shall include a written statement setting forth the nature and cost of such claim. No claim by the TO Contractor shall be allowed if asserted after final payment under this TO Agreement. Failure to agree to an adjustment under this Section shall be a dispute under the Disputes clause of the Master Contract. Nothing in this Section shall excuse the TO Contractor from proceeding with the TO Agreement as changed.

3. Time for Performance

Unless terminated earlier as provided in the Master Contract, the TO Contractor shall provide the services described in the TO Proposal and in accordance with the CATS+ TORFP on receipt of a Notice to Proceed from the TO Manager. The term of this TO Agreement is for a period of one year, commencing on the date of Notice to Proceed and terminating on **Month Day, Year**. At the sole option of the State, this TO Agreement may be extended for four (4) additional, one (1) year periods for a total TO Agreement period ending on Month, Day, Year.

4. Consideration and Payment

- 4.1 The consideration to be paid the TO Contractor shall be done so in accordance with the CATS+ TORFP and shall not exceed the total amount of the task order. Any work performed by the TO Contractor in excess of the not-to-exceed ceiling amount of the TO Agreement without the prior written approval of the TO Manager is at the TO Contractor's risk of non-payment.
- 4.2 Payments to the TO Contractor shall be made as outlined Section 2 of the CATS+ TORFP, but no later than thirty (30) days after the Agency's receipt of an invoice for services provided by the TO Contractor, acceptance by the Agency of services provided by the TO Contractor, and pursuant to the conditions outlined in Section 4 of this Agreement.
- 4.3 Each invoice for services rendered must include the TO Contractor's Federal Tax Identification Number which is _____. Charges for late payment of invoices other than as prescribed by Title 15, Subtitle 1, of the State Finance and Procurement Article, Annotated Code of Maryland, as from time-to-time amended, are prohibited. Invoices must be submitted to the Accounts Payable, Maryland State Department of Education, 200 West Baltimore Street, Baltimore, MD. 21201.
- 4.4 In addition to any other available remedies, if, in the opinion of the TO Procurement Officer, the TO Contractor fails to perform in a satisfactory and timely manner, the TO Procurement Officer may refuse or limit approval of any invoice for payment, and may cause payments to the TO Contractor to be reduced or withheld until such time as the TO Contractor meets performance standards as established by the TO Procurement Officer.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the parties have executed this TO Agreement as of the date hereinabove set forth.

TO Contractor Name

By: Type or Print TO Contractor POC

Date

Witness: _____

MARYLAND STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

By: Albert Annan, TO Procurement Officer

Date

Witness: _____

ATTACHMENT 3 – CONFLICT OF INTEREST AFFIDAVIT AND DISCLOSURE

- A) "Conflict of interest" means that because of other activities or relationships with other persons, a person is unable or potentially unable to render impartial assistance or advice to the State, or the person's objectivity in performing the contract work is or might be otherwise impaired, or a person has an unfair competitive advantage.
- B) "Person" has the meaning stated in COMAR 21.01.02.01B(64) and includes a bidder, Offeror, Contractor, consultant, or subcontractor or sub-consultant at any tier, and also includes an employee or agent of any of them if the employee or agent has or will have the authority to control or supervise all or a portion of the work for which a bid or offer is made.
- C) The bidder or Offeror warrants that, except as disclosed in §D, below, there are no relevant facts or circumstances now giving rise or which could, in the future, give rise to a conflict of interest.
- D) The following facts or circumstances give rise or could in the future give rise to a conflict of interest (explain in detail—attach additional sheets if necessary):
- E) The bidder or Offeror agrees that if an actual or potential conflict of interest arises after the date of this affidavit, the bidder or Offeror shall immediately make a full disclosure in writing to the procurement officer of all relevant facts and circumstances. This disclosure shall include a description of actions which the bidder or Offeror has taken and proposes to take to avoid, mitigate, or neutralize the actual or potential conflict of interest. If the contract has been awarded and performance of the contract has begun, the Contractor shall continue performance until notified by the procurement officer of any contrary action to be taken.

I DO SOLEMNLY DECLARE AND AFFIRM UNDER THE PENALTIES OF PERJURY THAT THE CONTENTS OF THIS AFFIDAVIT ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, INFORMATION, AND BELIEF.

Date: _____

By: _____
(Authorized Representative and Affiant)

SUBMIT AS A .PDF FILE WITH TO TECHNICAL PROPOSAL

ATTACHMENT 4 – LABOR CLASSIFICATION PERSONNEL RESUME SUMMARY

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Master Contractors must comply with all personnel requirements under the Master Contract RFP 060B2490023.
2. Only labor categories proposed in the Master Contractors Financial Proposal may be proposed under the CATS+ TORFP process.
3. For each person proposed in any of the labor categories, complete one Labor Category Personnel Resume Summary to document how the proposed person meets each of the minimum requirements. This summary is required at the time of the interview.

For example: If you propose John Smith, who is your subcontractor, and you believe he meets the requirements of the Group Facilitator, you will complete the top section of the form by entering John Smith's name and the subcontractor's company name. You will then complete the right side of the Group Facilitator form documenting how the individual meets each of the requirements. Where there is a time requirement such as three months experience, you must provide the dates from and to showing an amount of time that equals or exceeds mandatory time requirement; in this case, three months.

4. Each form also includes examples of duties to perform. The proposed person must be able to fulfill those duties.
5. For each subject matter expert, the State will identify the particular area of expertise and the Master Contractor shall provide proof the individual has qualifications within that area of expertise.
6. Additional information may be attached to each Labor Category Personnel Resume Summary that may assist a full and complete understanding of the individual being proposed.

ATTACHMENT 5 – DIRECTIONS

TO THE PRE-TO PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

The Pre-Proposal Conference will be held:

Thursday, December 12, 2013
Maryland State Department of Education
8th Floor, CR 6
200 West Baltimore Street
Baltimore, MD 21201

From Interstate 95 (Washington, D. C.)

95 to Exit 53 – “Route 395 North/Downtown”. On 395, take exit “Downtown/Inner Harbor”, which is the left lane. Stay in left lane. “Downtown/Inner Harbor” exit becomes Howard Street. Cross Conway, Camden, and Pratt Streets. After Pratt, get in the right lane. Cross Lombard Street, turn right at next light which is Baltimore Street. You can turn right from both lanes, but the left lane of Howard Street puts you into the left lane of Baltimore Street and gives easy access to the parking lot, and directly across from the First Mariners Arena (Formerly the Baltimore Arena).

From Interstate 95 (North of Baltimore—Philadelphia/New York)

95 South to Baltimore. Pass the exits to 695 – Baltimore Beltway. As soon as you pass the 695 exits, get in the right two lanes. Stay to the right and follow signs to 95 South/Ft. McHenry Tunnel. (The left two lanes go to 895 and the “old” Harbor Tunnel.) When you exit the Ft. McHenry tunnel stay on the right and take the first exit – 395/Baltimore/Downtown. On the exit ramp you shall begin to move to the left and continue to follow the signs that say “Downtown/Inner Harbor”. Downtown/Inner Harbor” exit becomes Howard Street. Cross Conway, Camden, and Pratt Streets. After Pratt, get in the right lane. Cross Lombard Street, turn right at next light which is Baltimore Street. You can turn right from both lanes, but the left lane of Howard Street puts you into the left lane of Baltimore Street and gives easy access to the parking lot next to the building. MSDE is in the middle of the block, on the left, right next to the parking lot, and directly across from the First Mariners Arena (formerly the Baltimore Arena).

From Annapolis – Route 50

Route 50 West to Route 97 North to Baltimore to exit “695 (Baltimore Beltway) West” to Baltimore. Exit 7B from the Beltway to Baltimore-Washington Parkway “295 North to Baltimore”. Follow directions below for 295 North to Baltimore.

From the Baltimore-Washington Parkway (Route 295)

295 North to Baltimore – all the way into Baltimore City. The name of the road/street changes from BW Parkway to Russell Street to Paca Street. As you come into the city you will pass the site of the new Camden Yards (Oriole Ballpark) on the right, you will cross Pratt Street, Lombard Street, and Redwood Street. At Baltimore Street turn right. Cross Eutaw Street and Howard Street. MSDE is in the middle of the block, on the left, right next to the parking lot, and directly across from the 1st Mariners Arena (Formerly the Baltimore Arena).

ATTACHMENT 6-NOTICE TO PROCEED (SAMPLE)

Month Day, Year

TO Contractor Name

TO Contractor Mailing Address

Re: CATS+ Task Order Agreement #

Dear TO Contractor Contact:

This letter is your official Notice to Proceed as of Month Day, Year, for the above-referenced Task Order Agreement. Mr. / Ms. _____ of _____ (Agency Name) will serve as the TO Manager and your contact person on this Task Order. He / She can be reached at telephone _____.

Enclosed is an original, fully executed Task Order Agreement and purchase order.

Sincerely,

Dorothy M. Richburg

Task Order Procurement Officer

Enclosures (2)

cc:

Procurement Liaison Office, Department of Information Technology

Project Management Office, Department of Information Technology

ATTACHMENT 8-AGENCY ACCEPTANCE OF DELIVERABLE FORM

Agency Name: Maryland State Department of Education

TORFP Title: EDW Support and Maintenance

TO Manager: Janice Johnson, 410-767-0025

To:

The following deliverable, as required by TO Agreement #, has been received and reviewed in accordance with the TORFP.

Title of deliverable: _____

TORFP Contract Reference Number: Section # _____

Deliverable Reference ID # _____

This deliverable:

Is accepted as delivered.

Is rejected for the reason(s) indicated below.

REASON(S) FOR REJECTING DELIVERABLE:

OTHER COMMENTS:

TO Manager Signature

Date Signed

ISSUED BY THE TO MANAGER AS REQUIRED IN THE TORFP.

ATTACHMENT 9 – NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT (OFFEROR)

This Non- Disclosure Agreement (the “Agreement”) is made this ___ day of _____ 200_, by and between _____ (hereinafter referred to as "the OFFEROR ") and the State of Maryland (hereinafter referred to as "the State").

OFFEROR warrants and represents that it intends to submit a TO Proposal in response to CATS+ TORFP # for Project Manager to Support the Expansion Instructional Toolkit. In order for the OFFEROR to submit a TO Proposal, it will be necessary for the State to provide the OFFEROR with access to certain confidential information including, but not limited, to _____. All such information provided by the State shall be considered Confidential Information regardless of the form, format, or media upon which or in which such information is contained or provided, regardless of whether it is oral, written, electronic, or any other form, and regardless of whether the information is marked as “Confidential Information”. As a condition for its receipt and access to the Confidential Information described above, the OFFEROR agrees as follows:

1. OFFEROR will not copy, disclose, publish, release, transfer, disseminate or use for any purpose in any form any Confidential Information received, except in connection with the preparation of its TO Proposal.
2. Each employee or agent of the OFFEROR who receives or has access to the Confidential Information shall execute a copy of this Agreement and the OFFEROR shall provide originals of such executed Agreements to the State. Each employee or agent of the OFFEROR who signs this Agreement shall be subject to the same terms, conditions, requirements and liabilities set forth herein that are applicable to the OFFEROR.
3. OFFEROR shall return the Confidential Information to the State within five business days of the State’s Notice of recommended award. If the OFFEROR does not submit a Proposal, the OFFEROR shall return the Confidential Information to **Dorothy M. Richburg, Maryland State Department of Education** on or before the due date for Proposals.
4. OFFEROR acknowledges that the disclosure of the Confidential Information may cause irreparable harm to the State and agrees that the State may obtain an injunction to prevent the disclosure, copying, or other impermissible use of the Confidential Information. The State’s rights and remedies hereunder are cumulative and the State expressly reserves any and all rights, remedies, claims and actions that it may have now or in the future to protect the Confidential Information and/or to seek damages for the OFFEROR’S failure to comply with the requirements of this Agreement. The OFFEROR consents to personal jurisdiction in the Maryland State Courts.
5. In the event the State suffers any losses, damages, liabilities, expenses, or costs (including, by way of example only, attorneys’ fees and disbursements) that are attributable, in whole or in part to any failure by the OFFEROR or any employee or agent of the OFFEROR to comply with the requirements of this Agreement, OFFEROR and such employees and agents of OFFEROR shall hold harmless and indemnify the State from and against any such losses, damages, liabilities, expenses, and/or costs.
6. This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Maryland.
7. OFFEROR acknowledges that pursuant to Section 11-205.1 of the State Finance and Procurement Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland, a person may not willfully make a false or fraudulent statement or representation of a material fact in connection with a procurement contract. Persons making such statements are guilty of a felony and on conviction subject to a fine of not more than \$20,000 and/or imprisonment not exceeding 5 years or both. OFFEROR further acknowledges that this Agreement is a statement made in connection with a procurement contract.
8. The individual signing below warrants and represents that they are fully authorized to bind the OFFEROR to the terms and conditions specified in this Agreement. If signed below by an individual employee or agent of the OFFEROR under Section 2 of this Agreement, such individual acknowledges that a failure to comply with the requirements specified in this Agreement may result in personal liability.

FFEROR: _____ BY: _____
NAME: _____ TITLE: _____
ADDRESS: _____

SUBMIT AS REQUIRED IN THE TORFP

ATTACHMENT 10 – NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT (TO CONTRACTOR)

THIS NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT (“Agreement”) is made as of this ___ day of _____, 200___, by and between the State of Maryland (“the State”), acting by and through its Maryland State Department of Education (the “Department”), and _____ (“TO Contractor”), a corporation with its principal business office located at _____ and its principal office in Maryland located at _____.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the TO Contractor has been awarded a Task Order Agreement (the “TO Agreement”) for **EDW Support and Maintenance TORFP No.** dated _____, (the “TORFP” issued under the Consulting and Technical Services procurement issued by the Department, Project Number 060B2490023; and

WHEREAS, in order for the TO Contractor to perform the work required under the TO Agreement, it will be necessary for the State to provide the TO Contractor and the TO Contractor’s employees and agents (collectively the “TO Contractor’s Personnel”) with access to certain confidential information regarding _____ (the “Confidential Information”).

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of being given access to the Confidential Information in connection with the TORFP and the TO Agreement, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which the parties acknowledge, the parties do hereby agree as follows:

1. Confidential Information means any and all information provided by or made available by the State to the TO Contractor in connection with the TO Agreement, regardless of the form, format, or media on or in which the Confidential Information is provided and regardless of whether any such Confidential Information is marked as such. Confidential Information includes, by way of example only, information that the TO Contractor views, takes notes from, copies (if the State agrees in writing to permit copying), possesses or is otherwise provided access to and use of by the State in relation to the TO Agreement.
2. TO Contractor shall not, without the State’s prior written consent, copy, disclose, publish, release, transfer, disseminate, use, or allow access for any purpose or in any form, any Confidential Information provided by the State except for the sole and exclusive purpose of performing under the TO Agreement. TO Contractor shall limit access to the Confidential Information to the TO Contractor’s Personnel who have a demonstrable need to know such Confidential Information in order to perform under the TO Agreement and who have agreed in writing to be bound by the disclosure and use limitations pertaining to the Confidential Information. The names of the TO Contractor’s Personnel are attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit A. Each individual whose name appears on Exhibit A shall execute a copy of this Agreement and thereby be subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement to the same extent as the TO Contractor. TO Contractor shall update Exhibit A by adding additional names as needed, from time to time.
3. If the TO Contractor intends to disseminate any portion of the Confidential Information to non-employee agents who are assisting in the TO Contractor’s performance of the TORFP or who will otherwise have a role in performing any aspect of the TORFP, the TO Contractor shall first obtain the written consent of the State to any such dissemination. The State may grant, deny, or condition any such consent, as it may deem appropriate in its sole and absolute subjective discretion.
4. TO Contractor hereby agrees to hold the Confidential Information in trust and in strictest confidence, to adopt or establish operating procedures and physical security measures, and to take all other measures necessary to protect the Confidential Information from inadvertent release or disclosure to unauthorized third parties and to prevent all or any portion of the Confidential Information from falling into the public domain or into the possession of persons not bound to maintain the confidentiality of the Confidential Information.
5. TO Contractor shall promptly advise the State in writing if it learns of any unauthorized use, misappropriation, or disclosure of the Confidential Information by any of the TO Contractor’s Personnel or the TO Contractor’s former Personnel. TO Contractor shall, at its own expense, cooperate with the State in seeking injunctive or other equitable relief against any such person(s).
6. TO Contractor shall, at its own expense, return to the Department, all copies of the Confidential Information in its care, custody, control or possession upon request of the Department or on termination of the TO Agreement.

7. A breach of this Agreement by the TO Contractor or by the TO Contractor's Personnel shall constitute a breach of the TO Agreement between the TO Contractor and the State.
8. TO Contractor acknowledges that any failure by the TO Contractor or the TO Contractor's Personnel to abide by the terms and conditions of use of the Confidential Information may cause irreparable harm to the State and that monetary damages may be inadequate to compensate the State for such breach. Accordingly, the TO Contractor agrees that the State may obtain an injunction to prevent the disclosure, copying or improper use of the Confidential Information. The TO Contractor consents to personal jurisdiction in the Maryland State Courts. The State's rights and remedies hereunder are cumulative and the State expressly reserves any and all rights, remedies, claims and actions that it may have now or in the future to protect the Confidential Information and/or to seek damages from the TO Contractor and the TO Contractor's Personnel for a failure to comply with the requirements of this Agreement. In the event the State suffers any losses, damages, liabilities, expenses, or costs (including, by way of example only, attorneys' fees and disbursements) that are attributable, in whole or in part to any failure by the TO Contractor or any of the TO Contractor's Personnel to comply with the requirements of this Agreement, the TO Contractor shall hold harmless and indemnify the State from and against any such losses, damages, liabilities, expenses, and/or costs.
9. TO Contractor and each of the TO Contractor's Personnel who receive or have access to any Confidential Information shall execute a copy of an agreement substantially similar to this Agreement and the TO Contractor shall provide originals of such executed Agreements to the State.
10. The parties further agree that:
 - a. This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Maryland;
 - b. The rights and obligations of the TO Contractor under this Agreement may not be assigned or delegated, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the State;
 - c. The State makes no representations or warranties as to the accuracy or completeness of any Confidential Information;
 - d. The invalidity or unenforceability of any provision of this Agreement shall not affect the validity or enforceability of any other provision of this Agreement;
 - e. Signatures exchanged by facsimile are effective for all purposes hereunder to the same extent as original signatures; and
 - f. The Recitals are not merely prefatory but are an integral part hereof.

TO Contractor/TO Contractor's Personnel: **Maryland State Department of Education:**

Name: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

SUBMIT AS REQUIRED IN THE TORFP

EXHIBIT A – FOR THE NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT (TO CONTRACTOR)

**TO CONTRACTOR’S EMPLOYEES AND AGENTS WHO WILL BE GIVEN ACCESS TO THE
CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

Printed Name and Address
of Employee or Agent

Signature

Date

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

ATTACHMENT 11 – TO CONTRACTOR SELF-REPORTING CHECKLIST

The purpose of this checklist is for CATS+ Master Contractors to self-report on adherence to procedures for task orders (TO) awarded under the CATS+ master contract. Requirements for TO management can be found in the CATS+ master contract RFP and at the TORFP level. The Master Contractor is requested to complete and return this form by the **Checklist Due Date** below. Master Contractors may attach supporting documentation as needed. Please send the completed checklist and direct any related questions to contractoversight@doit.state.md.us with the TO number in the subject line.

Master Contractor:	
Master Contractor Contact / Phone:	
Procuring State Agency Name:	
TO Title:	
TO Number:	
TO Type (Fixed Price, T&M, or Both):	
Checklist Issue Date:	
Checklist Due Date:	
Section 1 – Task Orders with Invoices Linked to Deliverables	
A) Was the original TORFP (Task Order Request for Proposals) structured to link invoice payments to distinct deliverables with specific acceptance criteria? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (If no, skip to Section 2.)	
B) Do TO invoices match corresponding deliverable prices shown in the accepted Financial Proposal? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (If no, explain why) _____	
C) Is the deliverable acceptance process being adhered to as defined in the TORFP? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (If no, explain why) _____	
Section 2 – Task Orders with Invoices Linked to Time, Labor Rates and Materials	
A) If the TO involves material costs, are material costs passed to the agency without markup by the Master Contractor? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (If no, explain why) _____	
B) Are labor rates the same or less than the rates proposed in the accepted Financial Proposal? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (If no, explain why) _____	
C) Is the Master Contractor providing timesheets or other appropriate documentation to support invoices? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (If no, explain why) _____	
Section 3 – Substitution of Personnel	
A) Has there been any substitution of personnel? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (If no, skip to Section 4.)	

B) Did the Master Contractor request each personnel substitution in writing?

Yes No (If no, explain why) _____

C) Does each accepted substitution possess equivalent or better education, experience and qualifications than incumbent personnel?

Yes No (If no, explain why) _____

D) Was the substitute approved by the agency in writing?

Yes No (If no, explain why) _____

Section 4 – MBE Participation

A) What is the MBE goal as a percentage of the TO value? (If there is no MBE goal, skip to Section 5)

%

B) Are MBE reports D-5 and D-6 submitted monthly?

Yes No (If no, explain why) _____

C) What is the actual MBE percentage to date? (divide the dollar amount paid to date to the MBE by the total amount paid to date on the TO)

%

(Example - \$3,000 was paid to date to the MBE sub-contractor; \$10,000 was paid to date on the TO; the MBE percentage is 30% ($3,000 \div 10,000 = 0.30$))

D) Is this consistent with the planned MBE percentage at this stage of the project?

Yes No (If no, explain why) _____

E) Has the Master Contractor expressed difficulty with meeting the MBE goal?

Yes No

(If yes, explain the circumstances and any planned corrective actions)

Section 5 – TO Change Management

A) Is there a written change management procedure applicable to this TO?

Yes No (If no, explain why) _____

B) Does the change management procedure include the following?

Yes No Sections for change description, justification, and sign-off

Yes No Sections for impact on cost, scope, schedule, risk and quality (i.e., the impact of change on satisfying TO requirements)

Yes No A formal group charged with reviewing / approving / declining changes (e.g., change control board, steering committee, or management team)

C) Have any change orders been executed?

Yes No

(If yes, explain expected or actual impact on TO cost, scope, schedule, risk and quality)

D) Is the change management procedure being followed?

Yes No (If no, explain why) _____

ATTACHMENT 12 – LIVING WAGE AFFIDAVIT OF AGREEMENT

Contract No. _____

Name of Contractor _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip Code _____

If the Contract is Exempt from the Living Wage Law

The Undersigned, being an authorized representative of the above named Contractor, hereby affirms that the Contract is exempt from Maryland's Living Wage Law for the following reasons: (check all that apply)

- Bidder/Offeror is a nonprofit organization
- Bidder/Offeror is a public service company
- Bidder/Offeror employs 10 or fewer employees and the proposed contract value is less than \$500,000
- Bidder/Offeror employs more than 10 employees and the proposed contract value is less than \$100,000

If the Contract is a Living Wage Contract

A. The Undersigned, being an authorized representative of the above named Contractor, hereby affirms our commitment to comply with Title 18, State Finance and Procurement Article, Annotated Code of Maryland and, if required, to submit all payroll reports to the Commissioner of Labor and Industry with regard to the above stated contract. The Bidder/Offeror agrees to pay covered employees who are subject to living wage at least the living wage rate in effect at the time service is provided for hours spent on State contract activities, and to ensure that its Subcontractors who are not exempt also pay the required living wage rate to their covered employees who are subject to the living wage for hours spent on a State contract for services. The Contractor agrees to comply with, and ensure its Subcontractors comply with, the rate requirements during the initial term of the contract and all subsequent renewal periods, including any increases in the wage rate established by the Commissioner of Labor and Industry, automatically upon the effective date of the revised wage rate.

B. _____ (initial here if applicable) The Bidder/Offeror affirms it has no covered employees for the following reasons (check all that apply):

- All employee(s) proposed to work on the State contract will spend less than one-half of the employee's time during every work week on the State contract;
- All employee(s) proposed to work on the State contract will be 17 years of age or younger during the duration of the State contract; or
- All employee(s) proposed to work on the State contract will work less than 13 consecutive weeks on the State contract.

The Commissioner of Labor and Industry reserves the right to request payroll records and other data that the Commissioner deems sufficient to confirm these affirmations at any time.

Name of Authorized Representative: _____

Signature of Authorized Representative: _____

Date: _____ Title: _____

Witness Name (Typed or Printed): _____

Witness Signature & Date: _____

ATTACHMENT 13 CERTIFICATION REGARDING INVESTMENTS IN IRAN

Authority: State Finance & Procurement, §§17-701 – 17-707, Annotated Code of Maryland [Chapter 447, Laws of 2012].

List: The Investment Activities in Iran list identifies companies that the Board of Public Works has found to engage in investment activities in Iran; those companies may not participate in procurements with a public body in the State. “Engaging in investment activities in Iran” means:

- Providing goods or services of at least \$20 million in the energy sector of Iran; or
- For financial institutions, extending credit of at least \$20 million to another person for at least 45 days if the person is on the Investment Activities In Iran list and will use the credit to provide goods or services in the energy of Iran.

The Investment Activities in Iran list is located at: www.bpw.state.md.us

Rule: A company listed on the Investment Activities In Iran list is ineligible to bid on, submit a proposal for, or renew a contract for goods and services with a State Agency or any public body of the State. Also ineligible are any parent, successor, subunit, direct or indirect subsidiary of, or any entity under common ownership or control of, any listed company.

NOTE: This law applies only to new contracts and to contract renewals. The law does not require an Agency to terminate an existing contract with a listed company.

CERTIFICATION REGARDING INVESTMENTS IN IRAN

The undersigned certifies that, in accordance with State Finance & Procurement Article, §17-705:

- (i) it is not identified on the list created by the Board of Public Works as a person engaging in investment activities in Iran as described in §17-702 of State Finance & Procurement; and
- (ii) it is not engaging in investment activities in Iran as described in State Finance & Procurement Article, §17-702.

The undersigned is unable make the above certification regarding its investment activities in Iran due to the following activities:

Name of Authorized Representative: _____

Signature of Authorized Representative: _____

Date: _____ Title: _____

Witness Name (Typed or Printed): _____

Witness Signature and Date: _____

ATTACHMENT 14- PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM

TORFP Title: **TORFP Title** TORFP # **ADPICS PO**

Name of Contractor being evaluated: <insert name>

(The TO Contractor shall submit one Performance Evaluation Form for each employee as required)

Evaluation Month & Year:

Role (TORFP Section 2.X):

Labor Category:

TO Contractor Name:

TO Contractor Contact:

MSDE TO Manager:

TO Requesting Agency: **TO Requesting Agency**

PROJECT PERSONNEL PERFORMANCE RATING*

The information below shall be completed by the TO Manager or Designee and returned to the TO Contractor

Performance Area	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Attendance and Timeliness		
Work Productivity		
Work Quality		
Teamwork		
Communication		
Customer Service		

*Project Personnel must maintain a “Satisfactory” rating for each performance area. The TO Contractor shall take action to address any unsatisfactory rating. At the TO Manager’s discretion, employee performance may be rejected and payment withheld pending employee performance mitigation or employee substitution.

Employee performance overall is accepted.

Employee performance overall is rejected (for reasons indicated below).

REASON(S) FOR UNSATISFACTORY EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE RATING/S:

OTHER COMMENTS:

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Signature of TO Contractor

Date

APPENDIX A - PUBLIC REPORTING TIMELINE

Data Delivery Timeline – Annually (MSA, Alt-MSA, and HSA will change to new PARCC assessments in 2015)		
		FINAL data expected from EDW
MSA		
	MSA regular proficiency score data by grade (3,4,5,6,7,8)	June 2013, 2014
	All grades MSA data (grade 99)	
	MSA Science grades 5,8	
	All grades MSA Science data (grade 99)	
	SubScores for MSA	
	Algebra MSA by proficiency Levels	
	Biology MSA by Proficiency Levels	
	English MSA by Proficiency Levels	
Alt-MSA		
	Alt-MSA proficiency score data by grade (3,4,5,6,7,8,10)	June 2013, 2014
	Alt-MSA Science grades 5,8 and 10	
	All grades Alt-MSA data (grade 99)	
	All grades Alt-MSA Science data (grade 99)	
School Progress		
	School Progress for Elementary and Middle	July 2013, 2014
	School Progress for High Schools/Districts/State	September 2013, 2014
School Progress Index		
	School Progress Index for Elementary and Middle	August 2013, 2014
	School Progress Index for High schools/Districts/State	October 2013, 2014
HSA Status		
	High School Assessment Status Data	September 2013, 2014
NAEP		
	NAEP data	August 2013, 2015, 2017
GRADUATION		
	Leaver Graduation Rate	September 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	Cohort Graduation Summary and Details	January 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017
DROPOUT		
	Annual Dropout Rate	September 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	Cohort Dropout Summary and Details	January 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017

PROMOTION		
	Promotion Rate	January 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017
DEMOGRAPHICS		
	School Data Set	October 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	School Begin/End Grades Data (final data)	December 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	Enrollment	December 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	Highly Qualified Teacher Data	July 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	High School Completion Data	October 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	Grade 12 Documented Decisions Data	October 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	Wealth, Expenditures, Staffing, Length of Year	October 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
ATTENDANCE/PARTICIPATION		
	Participation (Attendance)	June 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	Student Mobility	January 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017
	Absent fewer than 5 more than 20	September 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
COLLEGE READINESS		
	Advanced Placement	September 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	SAT	September 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	ACT	August 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	PSAT	April 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	National Student Clearinghouse	July 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	International Baccalaureate	September 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	Post Secondary from Maryland Higher Ed Commission	February 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
NCES		
	School Directory File	January 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017

APPENDIX B -FEDERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING TIMELINE

SchoolYear2012-2013EDFactsFilesbyDueDate

August2013

File#	FileName	Data Groups	SEA	LEA	SCH	DueDate
January2013						
N029/X029	Directory	1,4,5,7, 8,9,10, 11,16, 21,27, 453,458, 529,531, 551,559, 570,571, 669,743	Y	Y	Y	01/31/13
N039	Gradesoffered	18	N	Y	Y	01/31/13
February2013						
N002/X002	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)School-Age	74	Y	Y	N	02/06/13
N089/X089	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)EarlyChildhood	613	Y	Y	N	02/06/13
N130/X130	ESEAStatus	34,36, 662,741, 778,779	N	Y	Y	02/14/13
March2013						
N033/X033	FreeandReducedPriceLunch	565	N	N	Y	03/31/13
N052/X052	Membership	39	Y	Y	Y	03/31/13
N129/X129	CCDSchool	22,24, 573	N	N	Y	03/31/13
April2013						
N002/X002	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)School-Age	74	Y	Y	Y	04/30/13
N046/X046	LEPStudentsinLEPPProgram	123	Y	Y	Y	04/30/13
May2013						
N059/X059	StaffFTE	528,644	Y	Y	Y	05/31/13
August2013						
N168/X168	CharterSchools	653	N	Y	N	08/31/13
September2013						
N176/X176	StateInterventions	780,781	N	N	Y	09/30/13
November2013						
N005/X005	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)RemovaltoInterim AlternativeEducationalSetting	512	Y	Y	N	11/06/13
N006/X006	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA) Suspensions/Expulsions	475	Y	Y	N	11/06/13
N007/X007	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)ReasonsforUnilateral Removal	476	Y	Y	N	11/06/13
N009/X009	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)ExitingSpecial Education	85	Y	Y	N	11/06/13
N070/X070	SpecialEducationTeachers	486	Y	Y	N	11/06/13
N088/X088	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)DisciplinaryRemovals	598	Y	Y	N	11/06/13
N099/X099	SpecialEducationRelatedServicesPersonnel	609	Y	Y	N	11/06/13
N112/X112	SpecialEducationParaprofessionals	647	Y	Y	N	11/06/13

N143/X143	<i>ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)TotalDisciplinary Removals</i>	682	Y	Y	N	11/06/13
N144/X144	<i>EducationalServicesDuringExpulsion</i>	683	Y	Y	N	11/06/13

CSPRPartI						
N010/X010	<i>PublicSchoolChoice</i>	544,574, 700	Y	Y	N	12/19/13
N043/X043	<i>HomelessServed(McKinney-Vento)</i>	560	Y	Y	N	12/19/13
N045/X045	<i>Immigrant</i>	519	Y	Y	N	12/19/13
N050/X050	<i>TitleIIILEPEnglishLanguageProficiencyResults</i>	151	Y	Y	N	12/19/13
N063/X063	<i>TeacherQualityinElementaryClasses</i>	381	Y	Y	Y	12/19/13
N064/X064	<i>TeacherQualityinCoreSecondaryClasses</i>	383	Y	Y	Y	12/19/13
N067/X067	<i>TitleIIITeachers</i>	422	Y	Y	N	12/19/13
N103/X103	<i>Accountability</i>	32,518, 569,617, 688,699	Y	Y	Y	12/19/13
N106/X106	<i>Elementary/Middle AdditionalIndicator</i>	556	N	Y	Y	12/19/13
N107/X107	<i>HighSchoolGraduationRateIndicator</i>	557	N	Y	Y	12/19/13
N108/X108	<i>MathematicsParticipationStatus</i>	555	N	Y	Y	12/19/13
N109/X109	<i>AMOMathematicsStatus</i>	554	N	Y	Y	12/19/13
N110/X110	<i>Reading/LanguageArtsParticipationStatus</i>	553	N	Y	Y	12/19/13
N111/X111	<i>AMOReading/LanguageArtsStatus</i>	552	N	Y	Y	12/19/13
N116/X116	<i>TitleIIILEPStudentsServed</i>	648	Y	Y	N	12/19/13
N118/X118	<i>HomelessStudentsEnrolled</i>	655	Y	Y	N	12/19/13
N126/X126	<i>TitleIIIFormerLEPStudents</i>	668	Y	Y	N	12/19/13
N128/X128	<i>SupplementalEducationalServices</i>	546,575, 578	Y	Y	N	12/19/13
N132/X132	<i>SchoolEndofSYStatus</i>	31,56, 693,694	N	N	Y	12/19/13
N137/X137	<i>LEPEnglishLanguageProficiencyTest</i>	674	Y	Y	N	12/19/13
N138/X138	<i>TitleIIILEPEnglishLanguageProficiencyTest</i>	675	Y	Y	N	12/19/13
N139/X139	<i>LEPEnglishLanguageProficiencyResults</i>	676	Y	Y	Y	12/19/13
N141/X141	<i>LEPEnrolled</i>	678	Y	Y	N	12/19/13
N152/X152	<i>CorrectiveActions</i>	686	N	Y	N	12/19/13
N153/X153	<i>RestructuringActions</i>	687	N	Y	N	12/19/13
N164/X164	<i>PSC/SESData</i>	651,652, 679,680, 701	N	Y	N	12/19/13
N170/X170	<i>LEASubgrantStatus</i>	754	N	Y	N	12/19/13
N175/X175	<i>AcademicAchievementinMathematics</i>	583	Y	Y	Y	12/19/13
N178/X178	<i>AcademicAchievementinReading(LanguageArts)</i>	584	Y	Y	Y	12/19/13
N179/X179	<i>AcademicAchievementinScience</i>	585	Y	Y	Y	12/19/13
N185/X185	<i>AssessmentParticipationinMathematics</i>	588	Y	Y	Y	12/19/13
N188/X188	<i>AssessmentParticipationinReading(LanguageArts)</i>	589	Y	Y	Y	12/19/13
N189/X189	<i>AssessmentParticipationinScience</i>	590	Y	Y	Y	12/19/13
December2013						
N004/X004	<i>ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)NotParticipatingin Assessments</i>	491	Y	Y	N	12/19/13
N146/X146	<i>ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)AlternateAssessment Caps</i>	491				Cancelled
N171/X171	<i>AcademicAchievement-FlexibilitySubgroups</i>	760,761, 762	Y	Y	Y	12/19/13
N172/X172	<i>AssessmentParticipation-FlexibilitySubgroups</i>	763,764, 765	Y	Y	Y	12/19/13
N173/X173	<i>Status-FlexibilitySubgroups</i>	766,767, 768,769, 770	N	Y	Y	12/19/13
N082/X082	<i>CTEConcentratorsExiting</i>	521	Y	Y	N	12/31/13

N083/X083	<i>CTE Concentrators Graduates</i>	320	Y	Y	N	12/31/13
N142/X142	<i>CTE Concentrators Academic Achievement</i>	681	Y	Y	N	12/31/13
N154/X154	<i>CTE Concentrators Graduation Rate</i>	702	Y	Y	N	12/31/13
N155/X155	<i>CTE Participants in Programs for Non-traditional</i>	703	Y	Y	N	12/31/13
N156/X156	<i>CTE Concentrators in Programs for Non-traditional</i>	704	Y	Y	N	12/31/13
N157/X157	<i>CTE Concentrators Technical Skills</i>	705	Y	Y	N	12/31/13
N158/X158	<i>CTE Concentrators Placement</i>	736	Y	Y	N	12/31/13
N169/X169	<i>CTE Type of Placement</i>	753	Y	Y	N	12/31/13
N183/X183	<i>Title I Allocations-Flexibility</i>	789,790	N	N	Y	12/31/13
January 2014						
N159/X159	<i>Average Scale Scores</i>	730,751	N	N	Y	01/10/14
N160/X160	<i>High School Graduates Postsecondary Enrollment</i>	739	Y	Y	Y	01/10/14
N161/X161	<i>High School Graduates Postsecondary Credits Earned</i>	740	Y	Y	Y	01/10/14
New!						
N166/X166	<i>Evaluation of Staff</i>	737,738, 747,748	Y	Y	Y	01/10/14
N167/X167	<i>School Improvement Grants</i>	728,729, 731,732, 733,734, 735,745, 752	N	N	Y	01/10/14
CSRP Part II						
N032/X032	<i>Dropouts</i>	326	Y	Y	Y	02/13/14
N036/X036	<i>Title I Part A TASS Services</i>	549	Y	Y	N	02/13/14
N037/X037	<i>Title I Part A SWP/TAS Participation</i>	548	Y	Y	N	02/13/14
N041/X041	<i>Graduation Rate</i>	563	Y	Y	Y	02/13/14
N054/X054	<i>MEP Students Served-12 Months</i>	102	Y	Y	N	02/13/14
N065/X065	<i>Federally Funded Staff</i>	515,550, 625	Y	Y	N	02/13/14
N113/X113	<i>NorD Academic Achievement-State Agency</i>	628	Y	N	N	02/13/14
N119/X119	<i>NorD Participation-State Agency</i>	656	Y	N	N	02/13/14
N121/X121	<i>Migrant Students Eligible-12 Months</i>	634	Y	Y	N	02/13/14
N122/X122	<i>MEP Students Eligible and Served-Summer/Intersession</i>	635	Y	Y	N	02/13/14
N123/X123	<i>MEP Students Served-Regular School Year</i>	636	Y	Y	N	02/13/14
N124/X124	<i>MEP Students Served-Summer/Intersession</i>	637	Y	Y	N	02/13/14
N125/X125	<i>NorD Academic Achievement-LEA</i>	629	N	Y	N	02/13/14
N127/X127	<i>NorD Participation-LEA</i>	657	N	Y	N	02/13/14
N134/X134	<i>Title I Part A Participation</i>	670	Y	Y	N	02/13/14
N135/X135	<i>NorD Long Term</i>	671,672	Y	Y	N	02/13/14
N145/X145	<i>MEP Services</i>	684	Y	Y	N	02/13/14
N150/X150	<i>Regulatory Four-Year Adjusted-Cohort Graduation Rate</i>	695,697, 755	Y	Y	Y	02/13/14
N151/X151	<i>Cohorts for Regulatory Four-Year Adjusted-Cohort Graduation Rate</i>	696,698, 756	Y	Y	Y	02/13/14
N165/X165	<i>Migrant Data</i>	110,514	N	N	Y	02/13/14
N180/X180	<i>NorD in Programs Outcomes</i>	782,783	Y	Y	N	02/13/14
New!						
N181/X181	<i>NorD Exited Programs Outcomes</i>	784,785	Y	Y	N	02/13/14
New!						
N182/X182	<i>NorD Transition Services</i>	786,787	Y	Y	N	02/13/14
New!						
February 2014						
N040/X040	<i>Graduates/Completers</i>	306	Y	Y	Y	02/13/14
N174/X174	<i>Graduation Rates-Flexibility Subgroups</i>	771,772, 773	Y	Y	Y	02/13/14

N177/X177	GraduationCohorts-FlexibilitySubgroups	774,775, 776	Y	Y	Y	02/13/14
N131/X131	LEAEndofSYStatus	614,788	N	Y	N	02/13/14
N030/X030	DisciplineIncidents	523	Y	Y	Y	02/28/14
N136/X136	StudentsDisciplined	673	Y	Y	N	02/28/14
March2014						
N086/X086	StudentsInvolvedwithFirearms	596	Y	Y	N	03/02/14
N094/X094	FirearmIncidents	601	Y	Y	N	03/02/14
N163/X163	DisciplineData	603,664	N	Y	Y	03/02/14
June2015						
N035/X035	FederalPrograms	547	Y	Y	N	06/01/15

SchoolYear2013-2014EDFactsFilesbyDueDate

August2013

File#	FileName	DataGrou	SEA	LEA	SCH	DueDate
January2014						
N029/X029	Directory	1,4,5,7,8, 9,10,11,16, 21,27,453, 458,529, 531,551, 559,570, 571,653	Y	Y	Y	01/31/14
N039	GradesOffered	18	N	Y	Y	01/31/14
N190/X190	CharterSchoolAuthorizerDirectory	798,805, 806,807,808	Y	N	N	01/31/14
February2014						
N130/X130	ESEAStatus	34,36,662, 741,778,779	N	Y	Y	02/13/14
March2014						
N033/X033	FreeandReducedPriceLunch	565	N	N	Y	03/31/14
N052/X052	Membership	39	Y	Y	Y	03/31/14
N129/X129	CCDSchool	22,24,573, 791	N	N	Y	03/31/14
N176/X176	StateInterventions -Flexibility	780,781	N	N	Y	03/31/14
N191/X191	StudentsSentandReceived	792,793	N	Y	N	03/31/14
April2014						
N002/X002	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)School-Age	74	Y	Y	Y	04/02/14
N089/X089	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)EarlyChildhood	613	Y	Y	N	04/02/14
N046/X046	LEPStudentsinLEPPProgram	123	Y	Y	N	04/30/14
May2014						
N059/X059	StaffFTE	528,644	Y	Y	Y	05/30/14
November2014						
N005/X005	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)RemovaltoInterim AlternativeEducationalSetting	512	Y	Y	N	11/05/14
N006/X006	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA) Suspensions/Expulsions	475	Y	Y	N	11/05/14
N007/X007	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)Reasonsfor UnilateralRemoval	476	Y	Y	N	11/05/14
N009/X009	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)ExitingSpecial Education	85	Y	Y	N	11/05/14
N070/X070	SpecialEducationTeachers	486	Y	Y	N	11/05/14
N088/X088	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)Disciplinary Removals	598	Y	Y	N	11/05/14
N099/X099	SpecialEducationRelatedServicesPersonnel	609	Y	Y	N	11/05/14
N112/X112	SpecialEducationParaprofessionals	647	Y	Y	N	11/05/14
N143/X143	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)TotalDisciplinary Removals	682	Y	Y	N	11/05/14
N144/X144	Educational ServicesDuringExpulsion	683	Y	Y	N	11/05/14
CSPRPartI						
N010/X010	PublicSchoolChoice	544,574,700	Y	Y	N	12/17/14

N043/X043	HomelessServed(McKinney-Vento)	560	Y	Y	N	12/17/14
N045/X045	Immigrant	519	Y	Y	N	12/17/14
N050/X050	TitleIIILEPEnglishLanguageProficiencyResults	151	Y	Y	N	12/17/14
N063/X063	TeacherQualityinElementaryClasses	381	Y	Y	Y	12/17/14
N064/X064	TeacherQualityinCoreSecondaryClasses	383	Y	Y	Y	12/17/14
N067/X067	TitleIIITeachers	422	Y	Y	N	12/17/14
N103/X103	Accountability	32,518,569, 617,688,699	Y	Y	Y	12/17/14
N106/X106	Elementary/MiddleAdditionalIndicator	556	N	Y	Y	12/17/14
N107/X107	HighSchoolGraduationRateIndicator	557	N	Y	Y	12/17/14
N108/X108	Mathematics Participation Status	555	N	Y	Y	12/17/14
N109/X109	AMOMathematicsStatus	554	N	Y	Y	12/17/14
N110/X110	Reading/LanguageArtsParticipationStatus	553	N	Y	Y	12/17/14
N111/X111	AMOReading/LanguageArtsStatus	552	N	Y	Y	12/17/14
N116/X116	TitleIIILEPStudentsServed	648	Y	Y	N	12/17/14
N118/X118	HomelessStudentsEnrolled	655	Y	Y	N	12/17/14
N126/X126	TitleIIIFormerLEPStudents	668	Y	Y	N	12/17/14
N128/X128	Supplemental Educational Services	546,575,578	Y	Y	N	12/17/14

N132/X132	SchoolEndofSYStatus	31,56,693, 694,794,795	N	N	Y	12/17/14
N137/X137	LEPEnglishLanguageProficiencyTest	674	Y	Y	N	12/17/14
N138/X138	TitleIIILEPEnglishLanguageProficiencyTest	675	Y	Y	N	12/17/14
N139/X139	LEPEnglishLanguageProficiencyResults	676	Y	Y	Y	12/17/14
N141/X141	LEPENrolled	678	Y	Y	Y	12/17/14
N152/X152	CorrectiveActions	686	N	Y	N	12/17/14
N153/X153	Restructuring Actions	687	N	Y	N	12/17/14
N164/X164	PSC/SESData	651,652, 679,680,701	N	Y	N	12/17/14
N170/X170	LEASubgrantStatus	754	N	Y	N	12/17/14
N175/X175	AcademicAchievementinMathematics	583	Y	Y	Y	12/17/14
N178/X178	AcademicAchievementinReading/LanguageArts	584	Y	Y	Y	12/17/14
N179/X179	AcademicAchievementinScience	585	Y	Y	Y	12/17/14
N185/X185	Assessment Participation inMathematics	588	Y	Y	Y	12/17/14
N188/X188	Assessment Participation inReading/LanguageArts	589	Y	Y	Y	12/17/14
N189/X189	Assessment Participation inScience	590	Y	Y	Y	12/17/14
N192/X192	MEPStudentsPriorityforServices	796	Y	Y	N	12/17/14

New!
December 2014

N004/X004	ChildrenwithDisabilities(IDEA)NotParticipatingin Assessments	491	Y	Y	N	12/17/14
N171/X171	AcademicAchievement -FlexibilitySubgroups	761,762,760	Y	Y	Y	12/17/14
N172/X172	Assessment Participation -FlexibilitySubgroups	763,764,765	Y	Y	Y	12/17/14
N173/X173	Status-FlexibilitySubgroups	766,767, 768,769,770	N	Y	Y	12/17/14
N082/X082	CTEConcentratorsExiting	521	Y	Y	N	12/31/14
N083/X083	CTEConcentratorsGraduates	320	Y	Y	N	12/31/14
N142/X142	CTEConcentratorsAcademicAchievement	681	Y	Y	N	12/31/14
N154/X154	CTEConcentratorsGraduationRate	702	Y	Y	N	12/31/14
N155/X155	CTEParticipantsinProgramsforNon-traditional	703	Y	Y	N	12/31/14
N156/X156	CTEConcentratorsinProgramsforNon-traditional	704	Y	Y	N	12/31/14
N157/X157	CTEConcentratorsTechnicalSkills	705	Y	Y	N	12/31/14
N158/X158	CTEConcentratorsPlacement	736	Y	Y	N	12/31/14
N169/X169	CTETypeofPlacement	753	Y	Y	N	12/31/14
N183/X183	TitleIAllocations-Flexibility	789,790	N	N	Y	12/31/14

January 2015

N159/X159	AverageScaleScores	730,751	N	N	Y	01/09/15
N160/X160	HighSchoolGraduatesPostsecondaryEnrollment	739	Y	Y	Y	01/09/15
N161/X161	HighSchoolGraduatesPostsecondaryCredits Earned	740	Y	Y	Y	01/09/15
N166/X166	EvaluationofStaff	737,738, 747,748	Y	Y	Y	01/09/15
N167/X167	SchoolImprovementGrants	728,745, 752,729, 731,732	N	N	Y	01/09/15

February 2015

N086/X086	StudentsInvolvedwithFirearms	596	Y	Y	N	02/01/15
-----------	------------------------------	-----	---	---	---	----------

N094/X094	<i>FirearmIncidents</i>	601	Y	Y	N	02/01/15
N163/X163	<i>DisciplineData</i>	664,603	N	Y	Y	02/01/15
N040/X040	<i>Graduates/Completers</i>	306	Y	Y	Y	02/12/15
N174/X174	<i>GraduationRates-FlexibilitySubgroups</i>	771,772,773	Y	Y	Y	02/12/15
N177/X177	<i>GraduationCohorts-FlexibilitySubgroups</i>	774,775,776	Y	Y	Y	02/12/15
N030/X030	<i>DisciplineIncidents</i>	523	Y	Y	Y	02/28/15
CSPRPartII						
N032/X032	<i>Dropouts</i>	326	Y	Y	Y	02/12/15
N036/X036	<i>TitleIPartATASServices</i>	549	Y	Y	N	02/12/15
N037/X037	<i>TitleIPartASWP/TASParticipation</i>	548	Y	Y	N	02/12/15
N054/X054	<i>MEPStudentsServed-12Months</i>	102	Y	Y	N	02/12/15
N065/X065	<i>FederallyFundedStaff</i>	625,515,550	Y	Y	N	02/12/15
N113/X113	<i>NorDAcademicAchievement-StateAgency</i>	628	Y	N	N	02/12/15
N119/X119	<i>NorDParticipation -StateAgency</i>	656	Y	N	N	02/12/15
N121/X121	<i>MigrantStudentsEligible-12Months</i>	634	Y	Y	N	02/12/15
N122/X122	<i>MEPStudentsEligibleandServed-Summer/Intersession</i>	635	Y	Y	N	02/12/15
N125/X125	<i>NorDAcademicAchievement -LEA</i>	629	N	Y	N	02/12/15
N127/X127	<i>NorDParticipation -LEA</i>	657	N	Y	N	02/12/15
N131/X131	<i>LEAEndofSYSStatus</i>	614,788, 797	N	Y	N	02/12/15
N134/X134	<i>TitleIPartAParticipation</i>	670	Y	Y	N	02/12/15

N145/X145	<i>MEPServices</i>	684	Y	Y	N	02/12/15
N150/X150	<i>RegulatoryAdjusted-CohortGraduationRate</i>	755,695,697	Y	Y	Y	02/12/15
N151/X151	<i>CohortsforRegulatoryAdjusted-CohortGraduation Rate</i>	696,698,756	Y	Y	Y	02/12/15
N165/X165	<i>MigrantData</i>	110,514	N	N	Y	02/12/15
N180/X180	<i>NorDinProgramsOutcomes</i>	783,782	Y	Y	N	02/12/15
N181/X181	<i>NorDExitedProgramsOutcomes</i>	785,784	Y	Y	N	02/12/15
N182/X182	<i>NorDTransitionServices</i>	787,786	Y	Y	N	02/12/15
June2016						
N035/X035	<i>FederalPrograms</i>	547	Y	Y	N	06/01/16