Standards for Security Categorization of Information Systems

1.0 Purpose
The purpose of this document is to provide standard procedures for categorizing security levels of information systems. These procedures provide a common framework that promotes effective management and oversight of information security programs. Formulating and documenting the security level of an information system helps to determine the level of effort for security certification and accreditation.

2.0 Scope
These standards shall apply to all information systems within the State government. Agency officials shall use the security categorizations described in FIPS Publication 199 (http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips199/FIPS-PUB-199-final.pdf) whenever there is a State requirement to provide such a categorization of information systems. Additional security designators may be developed and used at agency discretion.

3.0 Standard
This publication establishes security categories for information systems. The security categories are based on the potential impact on an agency should certain events occur which jeopardize the information and information systems needed by the agency to accomplish its assigned mission, protect its assets, fulfill its legal responsibilities, maintain its day-to-day functions, and protect individuals. Security categories are to be used in conjunction with vulnerability and threat information in assessing the risk to an agency.

Security Objectives
The Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) defines three security objectives for information and information systems:

- **Confidentiality**
  - “Preserving authorized restrictions on information access and disclosure, including means for protecting personal privacy and proprietary information…” [44 U.S.C., Sec. 3542]
  - A loss of confidentiality is the unauthorized disclosure of information.

- **Integrity**
  - “Guarding against improper information modification or destruction, and includes ensuring information non-repudiation and authenticity…” [44 U.S.C., Sec. 3542]
A loss of integrity is the unauthorized modification or destruction of information.

- **Availability**
  - “Ensuring timely and reliable access to and use of information...” [44 U.S.C., SEC. 3542]
  - A loss of availability is the disruption of access to or use of information or an information system.

**Potential Impact on Organizations and Individuals**

FIPS Publication 199 defines three levels of potential impact on organizations or individuals should there be a breach of security (i.e., a loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability). The application of these definitions must take place within the context of each organization and the overall State interest.

The potential impact is **LOW** if—
- The loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability could be expected to have a **limited** adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.
  Clarification: A limited adverse effect means that, for example, the loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability might: (i) cause a degradation in mission capability to an extent and duration that the organization is able to perform its primary functions, but the effectiveness of the functions is noticeably reduced; (ii) result in minor damage to organizational assets; (iii) result in minor financial loss; or (iv) result in minor harm to individuals.

The potential impact is **MODERATE** if—
- The loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability could be expected to have a **serious** adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.
  Clarification: A serious adverse effect means that, for example, the loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability might: (i) cause a significant degradation in mission capability to an extent and duration that the agency is able to perform its primary functions, but the effectiveness of the functions is significantly reduced; (ii) result in significant damage to agency assets; (iii) result in significant financial loss; or (iv) result in significant harm to individuals that does not involve loss of life or serious life threatening injuries.

The potential impact is **HIGH** if—
- The loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability could be expected to have a **severe or catastrophic** adverse effect on agency operations, organizational assets, or individuals.
  Clarification: A severe or catastrophic adverse effect means that, for example, the loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability might: (i) cause a severe degradation in or loss of mission capability to an extent and duration that the agency is not able to perform one or more of its primary functions; (ii) result in major damage to agency assets; (iii) result in major financial loss; or (iv) result in severe or catastrophic harm to individuals involving loss of life or serious life threatening injuries.

**Security Categorization Applied to Information Systems**

Determining the security category of an information system requires consideration of the sensitivity of the information resident on that system. For an information system, the potential impact values assigned to the respective security objectives (confidentiality, integrity, availability) shall be the highest if the information stored on them is considered ‘confidential’. The generalized format for expressing the security category, SC, of an information system is:

\[
\text{SC information system} = \{ (\text{confidentiality}, \text{impact}), (\text{integrity}, \text{impact}), (\text{availability}, \text{impact}) \},
\]

Where the acceptable values for potential impact are LOW, MODERATE, or HIGH.

Note that the value of **not applicable** cannot be assigned to any security objective in the context of establishing a security category for an information system. This is in recognition that there is a low minimum potential impact (i.e., low water mark) on the loss of confidentiality, integrity, and availability for an information system due to the fundamental requirement to protect the system-level processing functions and information critical to the operation of the information system.

It is recognized that information systems are composed of both programs and information. Programs in execution within an information system (i.e., system processes) facilitate the processing, storage, and
transmission of information and are necessary for the organization to conduct its essential mission-related functions and operations. These system processing functions also require protection and could be subject to security categorization as well. However, in the interest of simplification, it is assumed that the security categorization of all information types associated with the information system provide an appropriate \textit{worst case} potential impact for the overall information system—thereby obviating the need to consider the system processes in the security categorization of the information system.

Table 1 summarizes the potential impact definitions for each security objective—confidentiality, integrity, and availability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POTENTIAL IMPACT</th>
<th>Security Objective</th>
<th>LOW</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>HIGH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confidentiality</strong></td>
<td>Preserving authorized restrictions on information access and disclosure, including means for protecting personal privacy and proprietary information. [44 U.S.C., SEC. 3542]</td>
<td>The unauthorized disclosure of information could be expected to have a \textbf{limited} adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</td>
<td>The unauthorized disclosure of information could be expected to have a \textbf{serious} adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</td>
<td>The unauthorized disclosure of information could be expected to have a \textbf{severe or catastrophic} adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Integrity</strong></td>
<td>Guarding against improper information modification or destruction, and includes ensuring information non-repudiation and authenticity. [44 U.S.C., SEC. 3542]</td>
<td>The unauthorized modification or destruction of information could be expected to have a \textbf{limited} adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</td>
<td>The unauthorized modification or destruction of information could be expected to have a \textbf{serious} adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</td>
<td>The unauthorized modification or destruction of information could be expected to have a \textbf{severe or catastrophic} adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Availability</strong></td>
<td>Ensuring timely and reliable access to and use of information. [44 U.S.C., SEC. 3542]</td>
<td>The disruption of access to or use of information or an information system could be expected to have a \textbf{limited} adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</td>
<td>The disruption of access to or use of information or an information system could be expected to have a \textbf{serious} adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</td>
<td>The disruption of access to or use of information or an information system could be expected to have a \textbf{severe or catastrophic} adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Important Resources;
FISMA http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/SMA/fisma/index.html
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