



**Amendment #2
Request for Proposal
Statewide Network Management Services (SNMS)
060B8400023
November 7, 2017**

Ladies/Gentlemen:

This Amendment #2 is being issued to amend and clarify certain information contained in the above referenced RFP. All information contained herein is binding on all Offerors who respond to this RFP. Specific parts of the RFP have been amended. The following changes/additions are listed below; new language has been double underlined and marked in bold (i.e., **word**) and language deleted has been marked with a strikeout (i.e., ~~word~~).

1. The Key Information Summary Sheet “Proposal Due Date and Time” has been extended to:

Proposals Due Date and Time:	12/01/2017 <u>12/08/2017</u> at 02:00 PM Local Time
------------------------------	---

2. Section 5.2.3 “Technical Proposal Evaluation Criteria” has been corrected as follows:

5.2.3 Offeror Qualifications and Capabilities, including proposed Subcontractors (See RFP § 4.2.2.8 – 4.4.2.14 **4.2.2.14**)

3. Page 126 of Section “RFP Attachments” has been corrected as follows:

ATTACHMENT ~~F~~ V – Sample Diagrams

This Attachment shows high-level examples of the State and MDOT’s network architecture.

4. Added to Section 2.1 “Offeror Minimum Qualifications”:

References provided as evidence to meet Offeror Minimum Qualifications shall include the following information:

- a. **Name of client organization;**
- b. **Name, title, telephone number, and e-mail address, if available, of point of contact for client organization; and**

c. **Value, type, duration, and description of products/services provided.**

Points of contact must be accessible and knowledgeable regarding Offeror performance.

5. Added to Section 1.24 “Mandatory Contractual Terms”:

Any exceptions to this RFP or the Contract shall be clearly identified in the Executive Summary of the Technical Proposal as well as in table format (Word or Excel). **Offerors may elect to provide a redlined copy of Attachment A, in lieu of itemizations, to be provided as part of the Executive Summary. Offerors electing to do so, shall so advise in the Executive Summary.**

6. The footnote to Table 2 in Section 3.4.3.8 “MDOT IT Network Size by TBU” has been clarified as follows:

****Endpoints are not in scope for certain services. Endpoints are not in scope except where mentioned in the requirements; for example Section 3.8.2.**

7. Added to Section 1.2 “Abbreviations and Definitions”:

<u>Endpoints</u>	<u>Endpoints are considered LAN devices such as printers, desktops, laptops, etc. These devices are not in scope of this RFP.</u>
-------------------------	--

8. Revised the following term in Section 1.2 “Abbreviations and Definitions”:

MDOT Internal Network Resources or MDOT IT Network	MDOT owned assets (including but not limited to network devices, switches, routers, servers, endpoints , and other devices) that use the MDOT owned systems, are managed by MDOT, and are within scope of the Contract but not including any devices that are managed by DoIT (e.g., firewalls maintained/operated by networkMaryland™).
--	---

9. Attachment P Section 3 “Non-Disclosure Agreement (Offeror)” corrects the Procurement



Officer reference:

OFFEROR shall return the Confidential Information to the State within five business days of the State's Notice of recommended award. If the OFFEROR does not submit a Proposal, the OFFEROR shall return the Confidential Information to ~~Dale Eutsler~~ **Sini Jacob**, DoIT on or before the due date for Proposals.

For your convenience, a copy of the RFP with all changes is being provided with this distribution and publication on eMM and DoIT's IT Bid Board.

Issued by:

Sini Jacob
Procurement Officer