

**Questions & Answers #1****TORFP #F50B6400002****CAPITAL BUDGET INFORMATION SYSTEM (CBIS) MAINTENANCE  
August 5, 2015**

The following questions for the above referenced TORFP were received by e-mail, are answered and will be posted for all prospective Offerors. The statements and interpretations contained in the following responses to questions are not binding to the State unless the TORFP is expressly amended. Nothing in the State's response to these questions is to be construed as agreement to or acceptance by the State of any statement or interpretation on the part of the Offeror asking the question.

Ladies/Gentlemen:

1. Can the Agency confirm whether or not there is an incumbent contractor currently working on this project? If so, what is the name of the incumbent Master Contractor?

**Response: Yes, there is an incumbent. The incumbent is G.R. Patel & Associates, Inc.**

2. If there is an incumbent, will the Agency release the value of the current contract?

**Response: The current value is \$24,894.**

3. On the Key Information Summary Sheet, the primary place of performance states that the work can be performed at the contractor's site or at the Agency. Does this mean that the Master Contractor should propose a location? Does the Agency have a preference? If the Agency does have a preference, can Agency provide a breakdown of the amount time that is expected to be spent at the Agency's location?

**Response: The Master Contractor should not propose a location. The State prefers the contractor's site, however, more specifics will be provided when the contract is awarded.**

4. Does DoIT have an incumbent contractor(s) either currently working, or who recently worked, on this project?

**Response: Refer to Question #1.**



5. Are the incumbents eligible to respond to this solicitation?

**Response: Yes, the incumbent is eligible to respond to this solicitation.**

6. If there are incumbents, can you provide the names of these incumbents?

**Response: Refer to Question #1.**

7. Given this TORFP is an SBR solicitation, in an effort to ensure more SBRs are able to respond, can the Offeror Minimum Qualification, Section 2.1.1.i, be changed FROM "Master Contractors that fully meet all minimum qualification" TO "**Master Contractors that fully meet all minimum qualification or has fostered a relationship with partner to meet this qualification**". Our firm can do this work and we have plenty of experience managing linux servers and MySQL databases, but not specifically Microsoft SQL Server 2008-2012.

**Response: No.**

8. This TORFP is for the 3 Key resources – Advanced Technology Application Developer, Senior Systems Engineer and Project Manager but there is no specific skill set mentioned for the project manager position. Just wanted to confirm for the same.

**Response: The three (3) resources requested must meet the minimum qualifications defined in the CATS+ Master Contract RFP Section 2.10, and any additional minimum requirements stated in this TORFP.**

*End of Document*

*Terraceta Tubaya  
Procurement Officer*