



Maryland Department of Transportation
Office of Procurement
CATS+ TORFP J02B3400055
SHA – Traffic Engineering Design Division (TEDD) Systems Engineering Team
(SET) IT Programs Business Services

Addendum #1

March 11, 2014

This Addendum is being issued to answer questions for the above named TORFP. All information contained herein is binding on all offerors who respond to this TORFP.

1. Page 25 (Attachment 1) mentions Hours Estimated to be 1,000 per resource annually. Is it for purely estimating purposes only - Whether these 4 resources will be working on 40 hr/week Full-time model or, Part-time only?

ANSWER: *The 1000 hours is only an estimation of actual working conditions. The workload may change during the length of the contract. There is likelihood that the resource responsible for data entry and scanning will be required to supply more hours initially with a chance of reduction in hours later. The developers would most likely be working on part time bases for the length of the contract. We will do our best to keep fluctuations to a minimum however it must be understood that the hours for any resource is purely a function of workload, budget and internal policies and may change at any time during the course of the contract.*

2. Para 3.2.1 F.1 from Page 23 says as Majority of work will be performed Onsite at Hanover only, while Para 2.14 says the Contractor's Onsite work to be only 1 to 3 days a week. Isn't this contradictory? Please clarify whether this work can be viewed as Majority of Onsite OR Majority of Offsite.

ANSWER: *There is no contradiction. These statements are based on a part time work assumption. At this time majority of work is expected to be onsite with offsite work only when is needed to resolve a conflict. So one resource could be working only two days a week on site and another could be working only one day a week onsite. Number of the days may vary depending on the workload, internal policies and expertise of the resource.*

3. Para 3.2.1 E.2 from Page 23 required the Contractors to show Traffic Engineering Automation Experience. But, a mention as *If applicable to this TO*, is not understood. Please clarify, whether Demonstration of Traffic Engineering Automation Experience is mandatory or not?

ANSWER: *Demonstration of Traffic Engineering Automation experience is not mandatory. Applicants that believe they have the resources that can produce the high quality services needed are encouraged to apply. However the relevance of the applicants experience to the work being performed is a factor in determination of the most qualified applicant. List the contracts you believe has relevance to the work to be*



Maryland Department of Transportation
Office of Procurement
CATS+ TORFP J02B3400055
SHA – Traffic Engineering Design Division (TEDD) Systems Engineering Team
(SET) IT Programs Business Services

Addendum #1

March 11, 2014

performed. Make the case for relevancy in section E2C even if the work is not Traffic Engineering Automation.

4. Para 3.2.1 E.1 from Page 23 requires us to give THREE example Projects & References. We have enough experience of Projects on Programming VB.NET, ASP.NET, VB 6.0, SQL Server and ALL those skill sets required vide Para 2.22. Can we provide those Projects' References OR is it mandatory to show References on MicroStation, CAD Development Projects?

ANSWER: *The purpose of the work is to optimize engineering production. CAD Administration and automation is an essential part of the services required. Although absence of that experience may not eliminate a candidate from running, it will reduce the chances of selection. If the experience does exist, we encourage you to list it in this section.*

End of Addendum #1

