	Section 1 – General Information			
RFR Number: (Reference BPO Number)	M00B5400280			
Functional Area	Functional Area 1 - Enterpris	Functional Area 1 - Enterprise Service Provider (ESP).		
(Enter One Only)				
	ject (MITDP)/Program Manage	e engaged for up t er/Deputy PMs may	o six months without renewal options. I have tenure of one base year with up m. An RFR is limited to only labor	
Enter the labor category/s to be provi	ded:			
1. Project Manager (Senior)				
Anticipated Start Date	March 1, 2014			
Duration of Assignment	24 months + 2, 1-year option years			
Designated Small Business Reserve?(SBR): (Enter "Yes" or "No")	No			
MBE Goal, if applicable			0%	
Issue Date: mm/dd/yyyy	12/15/2014	Due Date: mm/dd/yyyy	01/16/2015	
		Time (EST): 00:00 am/pm	02:00 PM Local Time	
Place of Performance:	Maryland Board of Physicians, 4201 Patterson Ave, Baltimore, MD 21215			
Special Instructions: (e.g. interview information, attachments, etc.)				
Security Requirements (if applicable):	badge.		nd check to obtain a building access	
	Invoicing Instruction	ons		

- 1. After the end of each month, the Master Contractor shall complete the top portion of the Performance Evaluation Form (PEF) (Attachment 4) and send it to the Agency Task Order (TO) Manager. Supporting timesheets and activity reports may also be required in conjunction with the PEF.
- 2. The Agency TO Manager shall enter performance ratings and return the PEF to the Master Contractor.
- 3. Upon receipt of a PEF containing an "Average / Overall Rating" of 3 or higher (out of 5), the Master Contractor shall send a copy of the PEF with an invoice to the Agency TO Manager.
- 4. If the PEF contains an Average / Overall Rating below 3, invoicing by the Master Contractor is not authorized and shall

All Master Contract Provisions Apply

not resume until performance issues are mitigated to the Agency TO Manager's satisfaction.

- 5. The Master Contractor shall invoice as follows:
 - Annual Labor Rate: Task Orders awarded at the Annual Labor Rate shall be invoiced monthly for 1/12 the Annual Labor Rate.
 - Hourly Labor Rate: Task Orders awarded at the Hourly Labor Rate shall be invoiced monthly for actual hours x Labor Rate.

Special Invoicing Instructions:	No special instructions.			
Section	Section 2 – Agency Procurement Officer (PO) Information			
Agency / Division Name:	Department of Health and Mental H	ygiene, Maryland Board	of Physicians	
Agency PO Name:	John Gullucci	Agency PO Phone Number:	410-767-5823	
Agency PO Email Address:	Dhmh.solicitationquestions@Mary land.gov	Agency PO Fax:	410-333-5958	
Agency PO Mailing Address:	201 W Preston St, RM 416, Baltimore, MD 21201			

Section 3 – Scope of Work

Agency / Project Background

The Board of Physicians (the Board) is the State agency responsible for issuing medical licenses in Maryland and is responsible for investigating any allegations of violations of the Maryland Medical Practice Act. The Board is seeking to create a new, more fully integrated and modernized administrative, licensure and compliance system. The Board has outgrown the capabilities of its current software system and intends to create a new system to incorporate modern technologies, such as document imaging, work flow management, e-commerce, mobile computing and Internet interactions. The Board intends to hire a vendor to spearhead this effort, to include a business processing re-engineering (BPR) effort, a requirements analysis, system design, development, training and support. The contract will be broken into various phases. Once each phase is completed and accepted by the Board, the vendor may commence work on the next phase. Anticipated phases include: BPR for the Compliance Division, BPR for the Administration, BPR for Licensure, Requirements Analysis based on all BPR results, Systems design based on results from previous phases, module identification, module development, module testing, module training, module implementation and data conversion.

	Job Description/s		
	Labor Category/s (From Section 1 Above)	Duties / Responsibilities	
1.	Senior Project Manager (SPM)	This position shall oversee and direct the MBP IT project team, which is comprised of contractors and State personnel. Responsibilities include overall project planning, governance and management. Duties shall focus on project planning, monitoring and control, and serving as primary liaison with Agency and Agency stakeholders.	
		The position shall ensure the appropriate application of the Project Management Institute's (PMI) Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK) and the State's Systems Development Lifecycle (SDLC) in managing the project.	
		The Board anticipates the SPM will:	
		Interview staff to determine current processes and identify future needed	

All Master Contract Provisions Apply

See Attachment 3 for a detailed description of duties, responsibilities and deliverables.
 Develop all documentation needed to push this project through all SDLC phases to successful create and implement the new system
 Document, flow-chart and design new system and business processes (Web- based system).
 Author internal operating procedures for new systems
 processes for licensure application via the new Web-based system, payment of fees, refunding of fees, collecting required information from stakeholders such as hospitals, data quality verification, data queries, compliance investigation files, etc. Document and flow-chart current ("as-is") processes (paper-based system)

Minimum Qualifications

For minimum qualifications, see the labor category description in the CATS+ RFP for the subject RFR labor category. In addition, qualified candidates <u>must</u> meet the minimum qualifications specified below. **Candidates that do not meet** minimum qualifications will be deemed not reasonably susceptible for award and will not progress to full evaluation.

minimum qualifications will be deemed not reasonably susceptible for award and will not progress to full evaluation.		
Labor Category/s (From Section 1 Above)	Minimum Qualifications	
Senior Project Manager	Education:	
	 Bachelor's Degree from an accredited college or university in Engineering, Computer Science, Information Systems, Business or other related discipline. 	
	General Experience:	
	At least ten (10) years of experience in project management.	
	PMI certification as a PMP.	
	At least three years Familiarity with Maryland State finance,	
	At least >three years' experience in Maryland State IT	
	 At least three years' experience in procurement policies and procedures and operating systems. 	
	Specialized Experience:	
	At least ten (10) years of experience in managing IT related projects.	
	 Must demonstrate a leadership role in at least three successful projects that were delivered on time and on budget. 	
	 At least five (5) years of experience in integrating a document imaging system into an agency wide system. 	
	 At least eight (8) years in creating secure web applications, such as personal portals, allowing clients to submit and access secured information. 	
	At least six (6) years of experience working on e-commerce applications.	
	Preferred Qualifications	
The additional E	Experience/Knowledge/Skills listed below are preferred by the State.	
Senior Project Manager	Education:	
	Masters of Science, Computer Science or other related Information Technology	

All Master Contract Provisions Apply

field

General Experience:

- Ability to write clear and concise documents, project plans, management briefings, internal operating procedures, user instructions, etc.
- Ability to interview staff and stakeholders to identify their requirements of the system.

Specialized Experience:

- At least three years of Experience with Maryland State financial regulations and systems.
- At least three years of Experience designing, developing, and deploying management information systems for the State of Maryland.

Section 4 - Required Submissions

NOTE:

- Master Contractors may propose only one candidate for each position requested.
- Master Contractors electing not to propose in response to the RFR must submit a "Master Contractor Feedback Form" via the "Master Contractor Login" on the CATS+ web site.
- Master Contractors proposing in response to the RFR must submit the documents below as separate files contained in two separate emails as follows:

Email 1 of 2 with "Technical": Master Contractor Name, RFR number, & candidate name in the subject line

- Resume for each labor category described in the RFR (Attachment 1)
- Three (3) current references that can be contacted for performance verification of the submitted consultant's work experience and skills. Telephone number and email address of reference is needed.
- PMI certification as a PMP

Email 2 of 2 with "Financial": Master Contractor Name, RFR number, & candidate name in the subject line

- Price Proposal (Attachment 2)
- Conflict of Interest Affidavit (Attachment G in the CATS+ RFP)
- Living Wage Affidavit (Attachment I in the CATS+ RFP)

- 1. Copy of current PMI or PMP certificate
- 2.
- 3.

4.

Section 5 – Evaluation Criteria –

Candidates meeting the Minimum Qualifications listed in Section 3 above will be evaluated for overall best value, as follows:

(Provide a list of evaluation criteria in descending order of importance)

- Relevant IT project management experience in developing project plans, project schedules, and executing/adhering to the project plans.
- 2. Training and education
- Price

All Master Contract Provisions Apply

- 4. Internet application development oversight
- 5. E-commerce implementations
- 6. Business process re-engineering
- 7. Document imaging software and systems COTS or tailored.
- 8. Interview

9.

Basis for Award Recommendation

The Agency PO will recommend award to the Master Contractor whose proposal is determined to be the most advantageous to the State, considering price and the evaluation factors set forth in the RFR. The Agency PO will initiate and deliver a Task Order Agreement to the selected Master Contractor. Master Contractors should be aware that if selected, State law regarding conflict of interest may prevent future participation in procurements related to the RFR Scope of Work, depending upon specific circumstances.

All Master Contract Provisions Apply

ATTACHMENT 1 RFR RESUME FORM

RFR # M00B5400280

	KFK # IV	10085400280		
Instructions: Enter resume info Labor Category described in Se for				
Labor Category	Project Manager (Senior	·)		
(from Section 1 of the RFR)				
Candidate Name:				
Master Contractor:				
A. Education / Training				
Institution Name	e / City / State	Degree / Certification	Year Completed	Field Of Study
<add as="" lines="" needed=""></add>				
Describe work experience releva Section 3 of the RFR. Starts with [Organization] [Title / Role] [Period of Employment / Work] [Location]	-	-	_	
[Contact Person (Optional if current employer)]				
[Organization] [Title / Role] [Period of Employment / Work] [Location]	Description of Work			
<add as="" lines="" needed=""></add>				
C. Employment History List employment history, sta	arting with the most recent em	ployment first		
Start and End Dates	Job Title or Position	Organization Nam	ne R	leason for Leaving
<add as="" lines="" needed=""></add>				
D. ReferencesList persons the State may c	ontact as employment referen	ices		

-	All Master Contract	1 TOVISIONS Apply		
Reference Name	Job Title or Position	Organization Name	Telephone / Email	
<add as="" lines="" needed=""></add>				
*"Candidate Rel		NNEL RESUME SUMMARY 1 CONTINUED) 1 e filled out. Do not enter "see	resume" as a response.	
Proposed Individual's Name/Company:		How does the proposed individual meet each requirement?		
	LABOR CATEGORY TITLE – P	ROJECT MANAGER (SENIOR)		
Requirement		Candidate Relevant Experi	ence *	
Education:		Education:		
_	accredited college or university Science, Information Systems, discipline.			
General Experience: Update		General Experience:		
 At least ten (10) years of e management 	xperience in IT project			
At least ten (10) years of experience in project management.				
PMI certification as a PMP.				
 At least three years Familiarity with Maryland State finance, 				
At least >three years' experience in Maryland State IT				
 At least three years' experience in procurement policies and procedures and operating systems. 				
•				
Specialized Experience: Upate		Specialized Experience:		
 At least ten (10) years of e related projects updating/ information systems. 	xperience in managing IT modernizing management			
 At least ten (10) years of e related projects. 	xperience in managing IT			
Must demonstrate a leadership role in at least three successful projects that were delivered on time and on budget.				
 At least five (5) years of experience in integrating a document imaging system into an agency wide system. 				
 At least eight (8) years in capplications, such as person 	reating secure web anal portals, allowing clients to			

submit and access secured information.		
 At least six (6) years of experience working on e- commerce applications. 		
•		
 Must demonstrate a leadership role in at least three successful projects that were delivered on time and on budget. 		
Describe additional professional experience, knowledge, and skills required for this position.		
 At least five (5) years of experience in integrating a document imaging system into an agency wide web-based management information system that requires data integration. 		
 At least eight (8) years in creating secure web applications, such as personal portals, allowing clients to submit and access secured information. 		
• At least six (6) years of experience working on e- commerce applications.		
The information provided on this form for this labor cate	egory is true and correct to the	e best of my knowledge:
Master Contractor Representative:		
Print Name	Signature	Date
Proposed Individual:		
Signature	Date	
·		

All Master Contract Provisions Apply

ATTACHMENT 2 PRICE PROPOSAL

RFR # M00B5400280

(This form is to be filled out by Master Contractors - Submit with the Financial Response)

(This form is to be filled or	ut by Master Contracto	rs - Submit with th	e Financial Respo	nse)
	Update1/12/15-	3/20/15		
	А	В	С	D
CATS+ Labor Category	Fully Loaded Annual Labor Rate*	Fully Loaded Hourly Labor Rate	Evaluation Hours	PERIOD 1 Evaluation Price (B x C)
Project Manager (Senior)			640	
		Total Period 1 Ev	/aluation Price:	
	Update3/23/15	-7/3/15		
	А	В	С	D
CATS+ Labor Category	Fully Loaded Annual Labor Rate*	Fully Loaded Hourly Labor Rate	Evaluation Hours	PERIOD 2 Evaluation Price (B x C)
Project Manager (Senior)			960	
Total Period 2 Evaluation Price:				
Update7/6/15-12/30/15				
	А	В	С	D
CATS+ Labor Category	Fully Loaded Annual Labor Rate*	Fully Loaded Hourly Labor Rate	Evaluation Hours	PERIOD 3 Evaluation Price (B x C)
Project Manager (Senior)			640	
		Total Period 3 Ev	valuation Price:	
	Total RFR P	rice (Sum of Peri	iod 1-3 Prices):	\$468,550.40
Authorized Individual Name		Company	Name	
Title		Company	Tax ID #	
*The According to the wight to accord to	م معالم المعالم			Data an annual d

^{*}The Agency reserves the right to award each individual position at either the proposed Annual Labor Rate or proposed Hourly Labor Rate. The Annual Labor Rate requires a minimum of 1920 hours worked annually. The Hourly Labor Rate cannot exceed the Master Contract rate, but may be lower. Both rates must be fully loaded, all inclusive, and shall include all direct and indirect costs for the Master Contractor to perform under the TOA. Evaluation Hours are for evaluation purposes only and do not represent actual hours to be worked or invoiced.

All Master Contract Provisions Apply

ATTACHMENT 3

RFR #M00B5400280

SENIOR PROJECT MANAGER (SPM)

DUTIES & RESPONSIBILITIES

This is a detailed description of typical Senior Project Manager (SPM) duties, responsibilities, and deliverables. Agencies should review and edit this document according to the specific SPM assignment and requirements for the project. References to a specific project can be inserted where the term [Project Name] occurs. Additionally, target due dates for deliverables defined in Section 7 should be adjusted to fit project needs. This information is advisory and some elements may not apply to specific Agencies or Projects. Questions about this SOW and the RFR process in general may be directed to the DoIT Procurement office at itpo.doit@maryland.gov.

1. **ROLE DEFINITIONS** The purpose of this section is to distinguish among the roles interacting with the SPM obtained through this RFR. Task Order (TO) Procurement Officer – State staff person responsible for managing the RFR process up to A. the point of TO award. John Gullucci B. TO Manager – State staff person who oversees the SPM's work performance and administers the TO once it is awarded. **Chris Triplett** C. TO Contractor – The CATS+ Master Contractor awarded a TO as a result of this RFR. The TO Contractor shall provide the SPM resource and be accountable for SPM work performance under the TO. D. Senior Project Manager (SPM) – The person provided by the TO Contractor as a result of this RFR. The SPM is responsible for overall project planning and execution. The SPM is responsible for performing the duties

- and responsibilities described in Attachment 3, and for completing all assigned tasks and deliverables under the TO. The SPM reports directly to the TO Manager and shall oversee and direct the Project Team made up of State and contractual personnel.
- E. Other Project Contractors The Contractors responsible for project implementation, including their PM and other personnel assigned to the project. The Contractors report to the PM for project purposes with oversight by the TO Manager.

F.

2. SENIOR PROJECT MANAGER DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The SPM shall oversee and direct the project team comprised of current State and contractual personnel. Responsibilities include overall project governance and direction, and risk management as needed. Duties shall focus on project monitoring, control, team integration, change integration, and corrective action as needed. The position shall ensure the application of PMI and State SDLC standards in managing the project.

The SPM shall report to the TO Manager and perform the tasks described in the table below. The SPM shall be capable of performing all assigned tasks with self-sufficiency and minimal guidance from the TO Manager. SPM performance shall be rated by the State each month, based on performance in the nine PMBOK knowledge areas as applied to the Project, and the quality of the written deliverables described in Section 7 below (See Exhibit 1 –

All Master Contract Provisions Apply

Deliverable Product Acceptance Form for performance rating criteria).

The SPM shall be accountable for the creation of any and all written deliverables that do not exist for the project, and for the updating of those that do exist. The SPM shall ensure that all deliverables are consistent with standards in the Project Management Institute (PMI) Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK) and State of Maryland System Development Life Cycle (SDLC) (see Section 3 below).

SPM Project Management Duties

An asterisk (*) by the section number below and bold italics identifies a deliverable associated with the duty / responsibility. Refer to Section 7 for full descriptions of all deliverables and time of performance.

- 2.1 Become thoroughly knowledgeable on all aspects of the Project.
- 2.2 Provide Project Management consistent with PMI and PMBOK principles of project management and the State of Maryland SDLC. Manage and integrate project resources including oversight of the project team. Exercise PM best practices for the Project and oversee project activities consistent with the nine knowledge areas including:
 - Procurement Management consisting of procurement planning, contracts planning, authoring solicitations, evaluation, requesting solicitation responses, selecting contractor(s), administering contract(s), and contract(s) closing activities.
 - Schedule Management consisting of activity definition and sequencing, resource estimating, duration estimating, schedule development, and schedule control activities.
 - Integration Management consisting of project plan development, project plan execution, and integrated change control activities.
 - Scope Management consisting of project initiation, scope planning, scope definition and scope change control activities.
 - Cost Management consisting of resource planning, cost estimating, budgeting and cost control
 activities.
 - Human Resources Management consisting of organizational planning, project team acquisition and staff development activities.
 - Risk Management consisting of risk management planning, risk identification, risk quantitative and qualitative analysis, response planning, monitoring, and control activities.
 - Quality Management consisting of quality planning, quality assurance and quality control activities.
 - Communications Management consisting of communications planning, information distribution, progress and performance reporting, and stakeholder communications management activities.

- 2.3 * Create (if necessary) and manage updating of the *Project Management Plan (Deliverable 7.1).*
 - Ensure that plan components adequately document how the project will be executed, monitored and controlled.
 - Ensure that the plan adequately defines the managerial, technical, and supporting processes and activities necessary for sound project development.
 - Ensure that the plan adequately covers topics such as Scope Management, Schedule Management,
 Quality Management, Resource Management, Communications Management, Project Change
 Management, Risk Management, Procurement Management and others as deemed necessary to
 manage the project.

	•
2.4 *	Create (if necessary) and manage updating of the <i>Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) (Deliverable 7.2)</i> consistent with PMBOK standards for all project work.
	•
2.5 *	Create (if necessary) and manage updating of the <i>Integrated Master Schedule (Deliverable 7.3)</i> based on the WBS (see 2.4 above) and usable for tracking project activities.
	 This schedule should include all project management, agency and contractor activities in sufficient detail to manage the project.
	 The schedule should include milestones, deliverables, periods of performance, degrees of completion, and assigned resources for all project activities.
	 The activities duration in the master schedule should be at appropriate level of granularity to manage and track project progress.
	 Oversee appropriate updates to the Project Management Plan (see 2.3 above) and related project components as outlined in the SDLC.
2.6	Oversee integration of other Project Contractors' schedules and methodologies into the <i>Integrated Master Schedule</i> (see 2.5 above) to track all project progress.
	• Ensure appropriate updates to the Project Management Plan (see 2.3 above) and related project components as outlined in the SDLC.
2.7 *	Create (if necessary) and manage updating of the <i>Communications Management Plan (Deliverable 7.4)</i> for all project stakeholders.
	 Include stakeholder contact list, distribution structure, description of information to be disseminated, schedule listing when information will be produced and method for updating the communications plan.
	 Ensure all appropriate stakeholders have been identified and their requirements and expectations have been documented and managed within the scope of the project.
2.8 *	Create (if necessary) and manage updating of the <i>Risk Management Plan (RMP) and Risk Registry</i> (Deliverable 7.5).
	At a minimum the RMP shall:
	Identify and prioritize potential risks to successful completion of the SDLC Phases.
	• Incorporate pertinent risk information found in the Master Project Status Report (see 2.14 below).
	 Include a Risk Registry of all project risks that will be updated throughout the project.
2.9	Develop, document and implement escalation and resolution processes for the project and communicate the process to all stakeholders.
2.10 *	Ensure the Project Team has created and is updating a <i>Deliverable Comments Matrix (DCM)</i> (<i>Deliverable 7.6</i>) for each deliverable or SDLC product provided by each Project Contractor's primary point of contact.
	• Ensure that the Project Team reviews, and coordinates the review among appropriate stakeholders,

	All Master Contract Provisions Apply
	of Project deliverables for completeness and conformance to requirements.
	 Ensure the Project Team documents resulting issues and questions in the DCM to be resolved by the Development Contractor prior to deliverable acceptance.
	 Ensure the Project Team reviews subsequent updated versions of deliverables to confirm all issues and questions have been resolved satisfactorily.
	 The DCM process is part of the Quality Assurance Plan (see 2.13 below).
2.11 *	Create (if necessary) and manage updating of the <i>Change Management Plan (Deliverable 7.7)</i> that describes the process for making changes to project scope, requirements, or cost as necessary.
	At a minimum, the Change Management Plan shall:
	Describe the change management and approval processes to include:
	 Coordination with the TO Procurement Officer to define change order scope, cost, and project impact of proposed changes to the project;
	 Coordination with the TO Manager for review and approval of proposed changes to the project;
	 Coordination with the Project Team and any Contractors for review and agreement on proposed changes;
	 Coordination with the TO Manager and any Contractors for documentation and implementation of change orders; and
	 Project integration management consistent with the PMBOK for approved changes.
	Describe the tools used (i.e. change request form)
2.12 *	Create (if necessary) and manage updating of the <i>Requirements Traceability Matrix (RTM) (Deliverable 7.8)</i> that describes and provides a numbering system for all project requirements for traceability through testing. The RTM process is part of the Quality Assurance Plan (see 2.13 below).
2.12 *	7.8) that describes and provides a numbering system for all project requirements for traceability through
2.12*	 7.8) that describes and provides a numbering system for all project requirements for traceability through testing. The RTM process is part of the Quality Assurance Plan (see 2.13 below). The RTM shall include test scenarios and acceptance criteria for all technical and functional
2.12*	 7.8) that describes and provides a numbering system for all project requirements for traceability through testing. The RTM process is part of the Quality Assurance Plan (see 2.13 below). The RTM shall include test scenarios and acceptance criteria for all technical and functional requirements. Ensure that the Project Team participates in requirements development as needed and traces
2.12*	 7.8) that describes and provides a numbering system for all project requirements for traceability through testing. The RTM process is part of the Quality Assurance Plan (see 2.13 below). The RTM shall include test scenarios and acceptance criteria for all technical and functional requirements. Ensure that the Project Team participates in requirements development as needed and traces requirements through testing and implementation via updates to the RTM. Ensure that RTM updates are in conjunction with weekly requirements / design reviews (see 2.14
2.12*	 7.8) that describes and provides a numbering system for all project requirements for traceability through testing. The RTM process is part of the Quality Assurance Plan (see 2.13 below). The RTM shall include test scenarios and acceptance criteria for all technical and functional requirements. Ensure that the Project Team participates in requirements development as needed and traces requirements through testing and implementation via updates to the RTM. Ensure that RTM updates are in conjunction with weekly requirements / design reviews (see 2.14 below). Work closely with the Project Team and any Contractors to develop or review and update detailed
2.12*	 7.8) that describes and provides a numbering system for all project requirements for traceability through testing. The RTM process is part of the Quality Assurance Plan (see 2.13 below). The RTM shall include test scenarios and acceptance criteria for all technical and functional requirements. Ensure that the Project Team participates in requirements development as needed and traces requirements through testing and implementation via updates to the RTM. Ensure that RTM updates are in conjunction with weekly requirements / design reviews (see 2.14 below). Work closely with the Project Team and any Contractors to develop or review and update detailed project requirements. Requirements activities may include: Stakeholder interviews; Documenting before and after business processes; Review of existing requirements documentation; Joint Application Development (JAD) sessions; COTS software "gap fit analysis"; Demonstrations of existing similar systems (benchmarking); and

	All Master Contract Provisions Apply
	At a minimum the QMP shall:
	• Describe the process for quality management of project deliverables via the DCM process (see 2.10 above).
	Describe the process for quality management of requirements using the RTM (see 2.12 above).
	Describe the processes for quality management of testing, software development and configuration management, as applicable.
	 Develop a written procedure for configuration control for application code promotion.
2.14	Ensure creation and ongoing updating of the <i>PM Status Report and Timesheet (Deliverable 7.10)</i> . At a minimum, the PM Status Report and Timesheet shall:
	Report on completed and planned project activities for the reporting period,
	Highlight schedule deviation from baseline,
	 Provide schedule updates, progress of work being performed, milestones attained, resources expended,
	Document risks, and issues encountered and corrective actions taken
	Track project cost and expenditures
	•
2.15 *	Ensure creation and ongoing updating of the <i>Master Status Report (Deliverable 7.11)</i> . Oversee weekly Project Team meetings, to include any Contractors when appropriate.
	At a minimum the Master Status Report shall contain sections for the following:
	Lessons learned from the project and any other pertinent status information.
	Design / requirements reviews and discussions on project status.
	Project status, risk and issue dispositions for the past week, and planned activities for the week upcoming.
	PM activities and needed updates to the Integrated Master Schedule (see 2.5 above), Master RMP (see 2.8 above), and RTM (see 2.12 above).
	 Performance as measured against the Project Schedule.
2.16	Ensure project governance and control according to the Project Management Plan (see 2.3 above).
	 Work with the Project Team and any Contractors to address schedule variances.
	 Ensure the documentation of schedule variances in the Integrated Master Schedule (see 2.5 above) and Master Status Report (see 2.15 above).
	•
2.17	Ensure that the Project Team will collect, organize, store, and manage project documents in a central repository. This includes:
	Maintaining current and archival files (electronic and paper)
	Collecting and distributing information to and from stakeholders
	Entering updates into project tracking systems

	Mil Master Contract Provisions Apply
2.18	Function as a liaison between Agency personnel, project stakeholders and any Contractors.
2.10	Tunction as a haison between Agency personner, project stakeholders and any contractors.
2.19	Assign other minor duties related to project management support to the Project Team. Minor duties may include:
	Responding to phone calls and email
	Making photo copies
	Scheduling and attending ad hoc meetings
	Engaging in TO performance discussions
	Coordinating Development Contractor invoicing
	Participating in Independent Verification & Validation (IV&V) assessments.
	•
2.20 *	Ensure the creation/updating of a Human Resource Management Plan (Deliverable 7.12).
	At a minimum, the Human Resource Management Plan shall:
	 Identify project tasks and assignments and work with Agency and any Contractors to resolve workload conflicts.
	Define roles and responsibilities needed for each resource on the project.
	Provide projections for resource and resource utilization.
	Define staff acquisition strategy including backfilling of State resources if applicable.
	Document staff training plan if required.
	Define organizational structure based on resources.
	•
2.21 *	Ensure the creation/updating of a Schedule Management Plan (Deliverable 7.13).
	At a minimum, the Schedule Management Plan shall:
	Document tools the project will use to manage the schedule and frequency of updates.
	 Define process for how schedule shall be tracked and reported including metrics used to report overall schedule performance.
	 Define process for schedule change process, including the process for baselining schedule and approving schedule changes.
	•
2.22 *	Ensure the creation/updating of a Cost Management Plan (Deliverable 7.14).
	At a minimum, the Cost Management Plan shall:
	Establish the activities and criteria for planning, structuring, and controlling project costs.
	Establish the project cost baseline through cost estimation and budget determination.
	Define cost estimating and cost controls for the project.
	Define and document how costs and cost variances will be reported regularly.
	•

All Master Contract Provisions Apply

2.23* Ensure the creation/updating of a *Procurement Management Plan (Deliverable 7.15)*. At a minimum, the Procurement Management Plan shall: Define the procedures for how the project will purchase or acquire all products and services needed from outside the team to perform project tasks Document procurement management activities for the project. Document contract management activities for the project. 2.24 Other project-related duties as assigned by TO Manager.

3. REQUIRED PROJECT POLICIES, GUIDELINES AND METHODOLOGIES

The SPM shall keep informed of and comply with all applicable laws, regulations, policies, standards and guidelines affecting information technology projects applicable to activities and obligations under the TO Agreement, as those laws, policies, standards and guidelines may be amended from time to time. The SPM shall adhere to and remain abreast of current, new, and revised laws, regulations, policies, standards and guidelines affecting project execution and it shall obtain and maintain, at its expense, all licenses, permits, insurance, and governmental approvals, if any, necessary to the performance of its obligations under the TO Agreement. These may include, but are not limited to:

A.	The nine project management knowledge areas in the PMI's PMBOK.
B.	The State's SDLC methodology at: www.doit.maryland.gov - keyword: SDLC.
C.	The State's IT Security Policy and Standards at: www.DoIT.maryland.gov - keyword: Security Policy.
D.	The State's IT Project Oversight at: www.DoIT.maryland.gov - keyword: IT Project Oversight.
E.	The State of Maryland Enterprise Architecture at www.DoIT.maryland.gov - keyword: MTAF (Maryland Technical Architecture Framework).
F.	

4. MONTHLY SPM PROJECT OVERSIGHT PERFORMANCE RATINGS

Each month the TO Contractor shall submit a Performance Evaluation Form (PEF) to the TO Manager. The TO Manager will rate the SPM's performance based on the criteria described in the PEF.

In the event of poor or non-performance by the SPM resulting in a rating of "unacceptable," payment shall be withheld pending the outcome of the procedures described in Section 5.

5. MITIGATION PROCEDURES FOR POOR OR NON-PERFORMANCE

As warranted by poor or non-performance by the SPM, the Agency shall pursue the following mitigation procedures prior to requesting a replacement SPM:

A.	The TO Manager shall document performance issues and give written notice to the TO Contractor clearly describing problems and delineating remediation requirement(s).
В.	The TO Contractor shall respond with a written remediation plan within three business days and implement the plan immediately upon written acceptance by the TO Manager.
C.	Should performance issues persist, the TO Manager may give written notice or request the immediate

All Master Contract Provisions Apply

	removal of the SPM and determine whether a substitution is required.
6. V	WORK HOURS
A.	The SPM will work an eight-hour day between the hours of 7:00 AM and 6:00 PM, Monday through Friday except for State holidays and Service Reduction days or other office closures.
В.	Alternatively, at the sole discretion of the TO Manger, the SPM may follow DoIT's compressed work week schedule.
C.	Duties also may require some evening and/or weekend hours billed on actual time worked at the proposed hourly rate.

7. SENIOR PROJECT MANAGER DELIVERABLES AND TIME OF PERFORMANCE

Note – for each of the written deliverables below, ongoing quality will be a factor in the Monthly Performance Rating described in Section 4 above.

ID#	Deliverable Description	Acceptance Criteria	Time of Performance
7.1	Project Management Plan	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
7.2	Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)	MS Word or Excel document (or mutually agreed upon document) that contains tiers showing project milestones or phases in the top level with a breakdown of major project tasks into manageable "work packages" underneath. Work packages at the bottom level should have no smaller than two-week durations and have measurable, testable, or observable outputs suitable for tracking project progress. The WBS shall comply with Maryland's SDLC and Attachment 2, Section 2 requirements for the deliverable.	Updated quarterly or as directed by the TO Manager
7.3	Integrated Master Schedule	MS Project document (or mutually agreed upon document) that is based on the WBS (see 7.2 above) and suitable for tracking project activities. At a minimum, the Master Schedule shall show milestones, deliverables, times of performance, degrees of completion and resources for all project activities during the SDLC. The activities durations in the master schedule should have the appropriate degree of granularity to manage and track project progress. This is a single, base-lined and periodically updated deliverable encompassing all project activities. The Integrated Master Schedule shall comply with Maryland's SDLC and	Update bi- weekly or as directed by the TO Manager

		Attachment 2, Section 2 requirements for the deliverable.	
7.4	Communications Management Plan	MS Word document (or mutually agreed upon document) that captures the stakeholder contact list, the types of information to be disseminated, the format for each type, a schedule of when information will be produced and disseminated, and the method for updating the communications plan. This is a single deliverable maintained throughout the life of project. The Communications Plan shall comply with Maryland's SDLC and Attachment 2, Section 2 requirements for the deliverable.	Updated quarterly or as directed by the TO Manager
7.5	Risk Management Plan (RMP) and Risk Registry	MS Word or Excel document (or mutually agreed upon document) that describes the risk management procedures for the project. The RMP will include a table of potential risks and recommended risk responses, and will incorporate risk information found in deliverables provided by the Development Contractor. This is a single, periodically updated deliverable encompassing all project risks. A <i>Risk Registry</i> will be created for logging all project risk using MS Excel or other appropriate table format. The Risk Management Plan shall comply with Maryland's SDLC and Attachment 2, Section 2 requirements for the deliverable.	Update bi- weekly or as directed by the TO Manager
7.6	Deliverable Comments Matrix (DCM)	MS Word or Excel document (or mutually agreed upon document) that is used to capture comments and recommended changes to each Project deliverable prior to acceptance. A separate DCM is required for each deliverable or SDLC product. The DCM shall comply with Attachment 2, Section 2 requirements for the deliverable.	Project deliverable due date + 5 working days
7.7	Change Management Plan	MS Word document (or mutually agreed upon document) that describes the procedure for proposing, evaluating, approving, and documenting changes to project scope, schedule, and cost. This Plan should include any tools or templates used for change management, for example, change request form. The Change Management Plan shall comply with Maryland's SDLC and Attachment 2, Section 2 requirements for the deliverable.	Updated quarterly or as directed by the TO Manager
7.8	Requirements Traceability Matrix (RTM)	MS Word or Excel document (or mutually agreed upon document) that describes technical and functional requirements. At a minimum, requirements should be numbered for traceability, testable and the descriptions unambiguous. The RTM should contain acceptance criteria for each requirement and a test method for verifying completion based on the criteria. The RTM shall comply with Maryland's SDLC and Attachment 2, Section 2 requirements for the deliverable.	Updated bi- weekly or as directed by the TO Manager
7.9	Quality Assurance (QA) Plan	MS Word document (or mutually agreed upon document) that describes how quality, meaning conformance to project requirements, will be monitored throughout the project life cycle. The QA Plan should describe the steps for deliverable review and	Updated quarterly or as directed by the TO

		updating via the DCM process (see 7.6 above). The QA Plan should describe the requirements tracking process via the requirements traceability process (see 7.8 above). The QA plan should define signoff procedures for project milestones and deliverables. The Quality Assurance Plan shall comply with Maryland's SDLC and Attachment 2, Section 2 requirements for the deliverable.	Manager
7.10	Project Manager Status Report and Timesheet	MS Word document (or mutually agreed upon document) that captures and tracks ongoing PM activities and status. The report will capture activities completed in the past reporting period, activities planned for the following reporting period, and the completion status of project deliverables. The report will describe issues identified on the project and the status of efforts to resolve issues.	At least bi- weekly or as directed by the TO Manager
7.11	Master Status Report	MS Word document (or mutually agreed upon document) that captures and tracks ongoing project activities and status. The report will capture activities completed in the past reporting period, activities planned for the following reporting period, the completion status of project deliverables and status of Project costs (planned vs. actual). The report will describe issues identified on the project and the status of efforts to resolve issues and mitigate risks.	At least bi- weekly or as directed by the TO Manager
		The report will have sections describing necessary updates to the Integrated Master Schedule (Deliverable 7.3) and Risk Registry (Deliverable 7.5). The report will document lessons learned from the project and any other pertinent status information.	
7.12	Human Resource Management Plan	MS Word or Excel document (or mutually agreed upon document) that describing how and when human resource requirements will be met on the project. The plan shall consider resource needs for the full life of the system including operations and maintenance and address staff acquisition, timing and training needs. The Human Resource Management Plan shall comply with Maryland's SDLC and Attachment 2, Section 2 requirements for the deliverable.	To be determined by the Senior Project Manager
7.13	Schedule Management Plan	MS Word document (or mutually agreed upon document) that establishes the specific procedures for how the project schedule will be managed and controlled and is as detailed as necessary to control the schedule through the life cycle based on the size, risk profile, and complexity of the project. The Schedule Management Plan shall comply with Maryland's SDLC and Attachment 2, Section 2 requirements for the deliverable.	To be determined by the Senior Project Manager
7.14	Cost Management Plan	MS Word document (or mutually agreed upon document) that establishes the activities and criteria for planning, structuring, and controlling project costs. The Cost Management Plan shall comply with Maryland's SDLC and Attachment 2, Section 2 requirements for the deliverable.	To be determined by the Senior Project Manager

All Master Contract Provisions Apply

7.15	Procurement	MS Word document (or mutually agreed upon document) that	To be
	Management Plan	define the procedures to purchase or acquire all products and	determined
		services needed from outside the team to perform project tasks.	by the Senior
		The document shall define processes for plan purchases and	Project
		acquisitions including acquisition strategy, contract administration,	Manager
		and contract closure. The Procurement Management Plan shall	
		comply with Maryland's SDLC and Attachment 2, Section 2	
		requirements for the deliverable.	

(The rest of this page was left intentionally blank)

ATTACHMENT 4

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM (PEF) FOR SENIOR PROJECT MANAGER (SPM) (Submitted monthly by the TO Contractor to initiate invoicing) TO Contractor: Name of SPM Being Evaluated: Date Submitted: Performance Period (Month / Year): Agency Name: TO Manager / Agency Contact: RFR / Reference BPO # The Information Below Shall Be Filled-in by the Agency PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE RATING DISPOSITION: Procurement Management Schedule Management Cost Management Risk Management Cost Management Quality Management Luman Resources Management Communications Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below). REASON(S) FOR UNACCEPTABLE PERFORMANCE RATING (List Deliverables or PM Process Areas):		IVICIVI 4
(Submitted monthly by the TO Contractor to initiate invoicing) TO Contractor: Name of SPM Being Evaluated: Date Submitted: Performance Period (Month / Year): Agency Name: TO Manager / Agency Contact: RFR / Reference BPO # The Information Below Shall Be Filled-In by the Agency PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE RATING DISPOSITION: PM Processes Procurement Management Schedule Management Scope Management Cost Management Cost Management Human Resources Management Communications Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is unacceptable Performance is unacceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).		• •
TO Contractor: Name of SPM Being Evaluated: Date Submitted: Performance Period (Month / Year): Agency Name: TO Manager / Agency Contact: RFR / Reference BPO # The Information Below Shall Be Filled-In by the Agency PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE RATING DISPOSITION: PM Procurement Management Schedule Management Scope Management Cost Management Human Resources Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is unacceptable		, ,
Name of SPM Being Evaluated: Date Submitted: Performance Period (Month / Year): Agency Name: TO Manager / Agency Contact: RFR / Reference BPO # The Information Below Shall Be Filled-In by the Agency PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE RATING DISPOSITION: Procurement Management Schedule Management Scope Management Cost Management Human Resources Management Quality Management Communications Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	(Submitted monthly by the TO C	Contractor to initiate invoicing)
Date Submitted: Performance Period (Month / Year): Agency Name: TO Manager / Agency Contact: RFR / Reference BPO # The Information Below Shall Be Filled-In by the Agency PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE RATING DISPOSITION: Procurement Management Schedule Management Scope Management Risk Management Risk Management Quality Management Luman Resources Management Quality Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	TO Contractor:	
Performance Period (Month / Year): Agency Name: TO Manager / Agency Contact: RFR / Reference BPO # The Information Below Shall Be Filled-In by the Agency PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE RATING DISPOSITION: PM Processes Rating** Procurement Management Schedule Management Cost Management Risk Management Human Resources Management Communications Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	Name of SPM Being Evaluated:	
Agency Name: TO Manager / Agency Contact: RFR / Reference BPO # The Information Below Shall Be Filled-In by the Agency PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE RATING DISPOSITION: Procurement Management Schedule Management Scope Management Cost Management Human Resources Management Quality Management Communications Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	Date Submitted:	
TO Manager / Agency Contact: RFR / Reference BPO # The Information Below Shall Be Filled-In by the Agency PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE RATING DISPOSITION: Procurement Management Schedule Management Scope Management Cost Management Human Resources Management Quality Management Communications Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	Performance Period (Month / Year):	
RFR / Reference BPO # The Information Below Shall Be Filled-In by the Agency PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE RATING DISPOSITION: Procurement Management Schedule Management Schedule Management Human Resources Management Undustry Management Undustry Management Integration Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is unacceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	Agency Name:	
PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE RATING DISPOSITION: Management	TO Manager / Agency Contact:	
PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE RATING DISPOSITION: PM Processes Rating** Procurement Management Schedule Management Scope Management Risk Management Human Resources Management Communications Management Integration Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	RFR / Reference BPO #	
Procurement Management Schedule Management Scope Management Cost Management Human Resources Management Communications Management Lintegration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	The Information Below Shall Be Filled-In by the Age	ncy
Procurement Management Schedule Management Scope Management Cost Management Risk Management Human Resources Management Communications Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE RATING DIS	SPOSITION:
Procurement Management Schedule Management Scope Management Cost Management Risk Management Human Resources Management Quality Management Communications Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	Integration	PM Processes Rating**
Scope Management Cost Management Human Resources Management Quality Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	illegratori 5	Procurement Management
Cost Management Risk Management Human Resources Management Quality Management Communications Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	Procurement Scope	Schedule Management
Risk Management Human Resources Management Quality Management Communications Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).		Scope Management
Risk Management Human Resources Management Quality Management Communications Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on <i>Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet</i> . The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).		Cost Management
Quality Management Communications Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	Risk	Risk Management
Communications Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).		Human Resources Management
Communications Management Integration Management Total Average Score **Rating is based on Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).		Quality Management
Total Average Score **Rating is based on <i>Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet</i> . The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	ommunications	Communications Management
**Rating is based on <i>Project Management Performance Rating Criteria Sheet</i> . The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).		Integration Management
Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5 above. Performance is acceptable Performance is unacceptable (for reasons indicated below).	HR Quality	Total Average Score
(for reasons indicated below).		Performance Rating Criteria Sheet. The Project Team should maintain score of 3 or higher for each process area and/or average score of 3. Scores below 3 may trigger the Mitigation Procedures defined in Section 5
	Performance is acceptable	Performance is unacceptable
REASON(S) FOR UNACCEPTABLE PERFORMANCE RATING (List Deliverables or PM Process Areas):		(for reasons indicated below).
	REASON(S) FOR UNACCEPTABLE PERFORMANCE RAT	TING (List Deliverables or PM Process Areas):

Date Signed

TO Manager Signature

Project Management Performance Rating Criteria

The TO Manager will evaluate and rate the FPM's management performance based on the overall Project Team's performance in each of the nine Knowledge Areas below. Applicable processes should score at 3 or higher.

Projec	t Integration Management	
0	Not applicable for project.	Indicators of Process
1	Project Team has not established practices, standards, or processes for project. Work performed in ad hoc fashion and does not include integration management.	Project Charter Project Management Plan (PMP)
2	Project Team has established basic, documented processes for project planning and reporting exist. Management only involved on high-visibility projects.	Integrated Project PlanUpdated Project
3	Project Team has institutionalized the Project integration efforts with documented procedures and standards. PM is beginning to integrate all project data.	Schedule
4	Project Team utilizes processes/standards for project on a regular basis and integrated with other processes/systems. Decisions on project based on performance metrics.	
5	Project Team has established best practices including project integration improvement procedures utilized. Lessons learned are regularly examined and used to improve documented processes.	
Projec	t Scope Management	
0	Not applicable for project.	Indicators of Process
1	Project has general statement of functional requirements. Little or no scope management or documentation for project. Management and stakeholders are aware of key milestones only.	Project Scope Statement Change Request and
2	Project Team has put basic scope management process in place. Scope management is meeting techniques irregularly.	Approval Process • Requirements
3	Project Team has implemented full project management process documented and is actively utilizing process on regular basis. Stakeholders are engaged and actively participating in scope decisions.	Traceability Matrix (RTM) • Change Control Board
4	Project Team is utilizing full project management processes for the project. Projects managed and evaluated in light of other competing requirements.	
5	Project Team's effectiveness and efficiency metrics drive project scope decisions by appropriate levels of management.	
Projec	t Time/Schedule Management	
0	Not applicable for project.	Indicators of Process
1	Project Team has not established planning or scheduling standards. Lack of documentation makes it difficult to achieve repeatable project success.	WBS Schedule Managemer
2	Project Team has established basic processes, but is not performing planning and scheduling on a regular basis.	Plan • Activities duration
3	Project Team has established document time management processes and utilizes on a regular basis. Project-wide integration includes project dependencies.	based on historic data
4	Project Team has established good practices in time management including utilization of historical data to forecast future performance. Project management decisions based on efficiency and effectiveness metrics.	
5	Project Team has additionally incorporated improvement procedures utilized for time management processes. Lessons learned are examined and used to	

	improve documented processes.	
Projec	t Cost Management	
0	Not applicable for project.	Indicators of Process
1	Project Team has not established practices or standards. Cost process documentation is ad hoc and individual project members follow informal practices.	Cost Estimates ActivityProject Cost BaselineCost Management Plan
2	Project Team has established processes exist for cost estimating, reporting, and performance measurement. Cost management processes are used for the project.	Cost Control
3	Project Team has standardized cost management practices for project team. Costs are fully integrated and reflect the true cost of the project.	
4	Project Team has integrated cost planning and tracking with Project Office, financial, and human resources systems. Standards tied to agency processes.	
5	Project Team leverages lessons learned to improve documented processes. Project Team and management are actively using efficiency and effectiveness metrics for decision making.	
Projec	t Quality Management	
0	Not applicable for project.	Indicators of Process
1	Project Team has not established project quality practices or standards. Management is considering how they should define "quality".	Quality Assurance Plan Deliverables Acceptance
2	Project Team has established basic organizational project quality policy has been adopted. Project Management and Team encourage quality processes and policy for project.	Criteria defined User Acceptance Criteria (UAC) per SDLC
3	Project Team has established well documented quality management process and instituted standards for the project. Regular quality management activities are being executed including deliverables acceptance.	phases Formal Deliverable Acceptance Process
4	Project Team has best practices for standard quality management processes. Management is actively involved in coordinating quality standards and assurance. Some metrics are developed.	
5	Project Team has implemented guidelines for implementing improvements back into the process. Metrics are key to product quality decisions throughout the SDLC.	
Projec	t Human Resource Management	
0	Not applicable for project.	Indicators of Process
1	Project Team has not performed planning and staffing activities for project. Project teams are ad hoc. Human resource time and cost is not measured.	Organization Chart Roles and responsibilities matrix Staffing Management Plan Team Training Plan Team performance assessment
2	Project Team has put processes in place that defines how to plan and manage human resources. Resource tracking is loosely performed for project.	
3	Project Team has established a regularly resource management process. Professional development program activities for team and organization have been established for successful implementation of project.	
4	Project Team has implemented resource management best practices including resource forecasts used for project planning and prioritization. Project team performance measured and integrated with team development.	
-		1
5	Project Team includes HR processes which engage teams to document project lessons learned. Improvements are incorporated into human resources management process.	

0	Not applicable for project.	Indicators of Process	
1	Project Team performing communications management on an ad hoc basis with informal status reports to management.	Communication Management Plan	
2	Project Team has established basic communications process including Communications Management Plan. Project progress reporting is occurring on a more regular basis.	Project Performance Reports Stakeholder Contact	
3	Project Team has active involvement by executing a formal project communications plan. All stakeholders and project team members are aware of communications process.	Processes for communication of Risk, Issues and Decisions	
4	Project Team has implemented best practices for communications management plan for the project.		
5	Project Team has put additional improvement process in place to continuously improve project communications management. Lessons learned are captured and incorporated.		
Project	Risk Management		
0	Not applicable for project.	Indicators of Process	
1	Project Team has not established any risk management practices or standards for project. Documentation is minimal and results are not shared. Risk response is reactive.	Risk Management PlanRisk RegisterProcess for Risk Register	
2	Project Team has established basic risk management processes and have documented for the project. Team members are involved with risks process and risks are shared for project.	updates and communication of risk • Contingency plans for	
3	Project Team has established regular risk management processes and risk activities, including identification and mitigation planning, are actively utilized for project.	risk	
4	Project Team has integrated risk processes with all aspect of project reporting including time, cost, and resource systems. Metrics are used to support risk decisions for the project.		
5	Project Team has established best practices in risk management including continuous improvement processes to ensure project is continually measured and managed against performance metrics.		
Project	Procurement Management		
0	Not applicable for project.	Indicators of Process	
1	Project Team has not established procurement process for project. Processes are ad hoc at best with no clear plan defined.	Procurement Management Plan	
2	Project Team has established basic process for procurement of goods and services for project. Procurement Management Plan has been developed for procurement of all project goods and services.	 Contract Statement Of Work Evaluation Criteria Cost Benefit Analysis Make/Buy Decisions 	
3	Project Team has established standards for procurement management on project and integrated with Agency processes.		
4	Project Team has leverage procurement management best practices such as make/buy decisions for the agency and project. Project procurement practices are integrated with project management mechanisms.		
5	Project Team has instituted on-going process improvements focus on procurement efficiency and effective metrics.		