17. **Question**: Would the State please provide specific guidance on where within the 4.4 Proposal Format, the Section 2.2.1 required response should be inserted? As the most significant evaluation criteria per Section 5.2 we want to be clear about where the State is expecting this material.

**Reference:**

2.2.1 **Offeror Experience**

“The following TO Contractor company experience will be evaluated as part of the TO Technical Proposal. The breadth and prior experience within the last seven (7) years in the following:”

See A) through E) in TORFP.

**4.4 PROPOSAL FORMAT**

A TO Proposal shall contain the following Sections in order:

**5.2 TO PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA**

The overall experience, capability and references for the Master Contractor as described in the Master Contractor’s TO Technical Proposal.

**Answer:** (Items from TORFP Section 2.2.1 (A through E) should be included in the proposal under section 4.4.1.G, prior to the three examples specified in item 1 of that section.

18. **Question**: Would the State provide more specific detailed requirements for the COTS software packaged referenced in 3.6.6.23 from which to make recommendations?

**Answer:** (Refer to the answer provided for Question #16 from July 21, 2017.

19. **Question**: Section 3.6 D. **Additional Services (Fixed Price basis) allows for “One-time Services – includes planning, requirements, design, development, testing, implementation and other tasks necessary to support modifications to the LTSS System and/or operations…… and/or COTS software considerations as necessary.” Would the State permit satisfying Requirement 3.6.6.23 post award using this mechanism to provide a more accurate and successful COTS solution?

**Answer:** (Refer to the answer provided for Question #16 from July 21, 2017.