

ROBERT L. EHRLICH, JR. Governor MICHAEL S. STEELE Lieutenant Governor

## Maryland Department of Budget & Management

DBM – people and technology... a partnership for the new millennium

Office of the Secretary Division of Policy Analysis

> JAMES C. DIPAULA Secretary

Request for Proposals (RFP) Wireless Data Service and Devices 050R4800094 Addendum #2 October 30, 2003

## Ladies/Gentlemen:

This Addendum is being issued to amend and clarify certain information contained in the above named RFP. All information contained herein is binding on all offerors who respond to this RFP. Specific parts of the RFP have been amended. The following changes/additions are listed below; new language has been double underlined and marked in bold (i.e., <u>word</u>) and language deleted has been marked with a strikeout (i.e., <u>word</u>).

1. Revise, Section 2.2.2:

Include all hardware <u>(with the exception of middleware server hardware which will be procured via existing State contracts)</u> and software necessary to ensure secure (encrypted) communication between the State's Firewalls and email systems and the provided handheld devices. User software is to be owned by the contractor and provided with the device. Server software is to be purchased by the State through this contract.

2. Revise, Section 2.2.6:

Provide email services that include at a minimum: compose, reply, reply to all, forward, save, carbon copy and blind carbon copy as well as scheduling/calendaring. and content management capabilities.

3. Revise, Section 2.2.14:

Provide the capability to hold mail for <u>not less than 72 hours</u> up to seven days in the event that the handheld device is outside of the service area or that the device is turned off.

4. Revise, Section 3.4.2.3 Offeror Technical Response to RFP Requirements to remove the following requirement:

In order to promptly evaluate the proposed equipment, service and coverage, the Offeror will provide no later than the proposal submission due date, two programmed and activated devices. The equipment must be representative of the model being offered. The devices need not be new but must be programmed identically to those to be provided under any resulting contract. An Evaluation Committee will travel the State and compare coverage, clarity of signal and features of the equipment. The Offeror will assess no charges for the testing period. The State estimates the period of evaluation for this equipment to be thirty (30) days. Equipment will be returned to the Offeror at the end of the testing period.

Note: Offerors will not be required to submit equipment for testing purposes.

REMINDER: PROPOSALS ARE DUE NOVEMBER 6, 2003 AT 2:00 PM (LOCAL TIME).	
Date Issued: October 30, 2003	By
	Susan S. Woomer
	Procurement Officer