do it

S T A T E   O F   M A R Y L A N D

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

LARRY HOGAN
Governor

BOYD RUTHERFORD
Lieutenant Governor

DAVID A. GARCIA
Secretary

Questions and Answers No. 2
RFP DOIT-FY-16-24
Enterprise Budgeting System Replacement

Ladies/Gentlemen:

This list of questions and responses is being issued to clarify certain information contained in the above referenced RFP. The statements and interpretations contained in the following responses to questions by potential offerors are not binding upon the State, unless an addendum expressly amends the RFP. Nothing in the State's response to these questions is to be construed as agreement to or acceptance by the State of any statement or interpretation on the part of the vendor.

1. Is there an incumbent in place that has been doing similar IT work for the client?

    Response: No. Currently, the system is maintained by the State. Please refer to Section 3.2.2 of the RFP for additional information regarding the project background.

2. If all the three references for the similar implementations are quoted from Commercial segment will it lead to dis-qualification?

    Response: Please refer to Section 2.1 of the RFP.

3. The proposal instructions for E.4, Exceptions to General IT Requirements, states below that this section should contain exceptions or clarifications for Section 3.5 of the RFP. Section 3.5 is Performance Work Statement. Section 3.6 is for General IT Project Requirements. Should the instructions below for E.4 instead have requested exceptions or clarifications for Section 3.6 of the RFP?

    Response: Please see Amendment #3.

4. How rigid is the requirement specific to the non-visual access clause in the DoIT Maryland RFP?

    Response: Please refer to Section 1.39.2 of the RFP.

Thank you,

Dale Eutsler
Procurement Officer

End of Question and Answer # 2